

Scandinavian Journal of Urology Review Form

Scandinavian Journal of Urology welcomes detailed constructive feedback when appropriate, which **will be shared with the author(s) unless otherwise specified**.

Is the title specific and appropriate for literature search retrieval?

Does the abstract provide enough detail regarding:

- Purpose
- Material and Methods
- Results
- Conclusions

Introduction

Are the aims of the investigation clearly stated in the introduction?

Material and Methods

Are the methods described well enough to reproduce the experiment or study?

Results

Can the reader assess the results based on the data provided?

Discussion

Is there a summary of the findings and implications of what was found?

Have the authors provided the reader with potential problems and limitations of their study?

Have the authors explained why and how their study differs from others already published?

Are the conclusions supported by the data presented?

References

Are the references complete, accurate, and appropriately cited in the text?

Figures and Tables

Are figures and tables appropriate, well-designed, and easy to read?

Are headings sufficiently informative?

Reviewer's comments to the authors (visible to the authors)

Comments to the Editor (will not be visible to the authors)