Effects of a Brief Mindfulness-based Intervention in Patients with Psoriasis: A Randomized Controlled Trial
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Psoriasis is a chronic inflammatory skin condition affecting approximately 2.5% of the German population (1). It is associated with itch in most patients (2). Patients with psoriasis are more prone to depression and anxiety than the general population (3).

Mindfulness is defined as purposeful and non-judgmental attention directed to the present moment (4). It can be trained through mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) (5), such as mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) (5, 6) or mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT) (7). Positive effects of mindfulness practice on anxiety, depression, burnout, quality of life, stress and distress have been shown in healthy subjects, and changes in mindfulness and self-compassion were identified as potential effect mechanisms (5). Other studies found positive effects of MBIs in patients with social anxiety (8), chronic pain (9) or depression (10). MBIs usually last 8 weeks (6, 7), but recent studies suggest that brief MBIs may also have positive effects (11–14).

In summary, past research has primarily shown that extensive MBIs represent a beneficial add-on in the treatment of patients with psoriasis (18–20) and that brief (2-week) interventions are also beneficial in this patient group. This randomized controlled trial investigated the effects of a brief mindfulness-based intervention on mindfulness, self-compassion, itch catastrophizing, social anxiety, stress and skin status in patients with psoriasis, all measured by self-report using validated questionnaires. Positive effects on mindfulness occurred. However, the control group showed a greater improvement in skin status than the intervention group. Further studies should investigate which subgroups of patients especially profit from this type of intervention.
on various parameters (11, 12). As shorter MBIs can easily be integrated into clinical practice, it is of interest to investigate its effects in the group of patients with psoriasis.

The aim of this randomized controlled trial (RCT) was to analyse whether a brief MBI leads to more self-reported mindfulness and self-reported self-compassion in comparison with treatment-as-usual (TAU) during a stay at a clinic for patients with chronic diseases. In addition, effects on the self-reported secondary outcome variables itch catastrophizing, social anxiety, stress and severity of psoriasis were investigated in an explorative manner (23). Thus, mindfulness and self-compassion were regarded as essential working mechanisms of a MBI. The secondary outcome parameters represent aspects shown to be associated with burden in patients with psoriasis, that had been linked to mindfulness in previous studies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Procedure

Patients with psoriasis participating in a special tertiary prevention programme for dermatological patients were recruited at Clinic Borkum Riff, Borkum, Germany. Such programmes are offered to patients with chronic illnesses to help them to cope with their disease in a more functional way and to restore their ability to return to work. They are paid by the Deutsche Rentenversicherung (German pension insurance). Data collection took place during 3 time-periods (August – October 2019; March 2020; July – August 2020) and was conducted by 2 of the authors (ME and LS) and a student assistant. The SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) pandemic strongly affected recruitment. Data were collected as part of a larger research project, also investigating interest in participation in a brief psychological intervention in addition to TAU (t0; “study 1a”) (23, 24). Patients, who reported interest in a psychological intervention and subsequently provided written informed consent were included in the current study. They were randomized, to either the experimental (EG; TAU + MBI) or control group (CG; TAU) by staff not involved in data collection or analysis. For randomization purposes cards were drawn randomly out of 2 different pots, with 1 pot containing the pseudonymized patient code and the other containing cards labelled with either EG or CG. Due to recruitment difficulties, it was decided to conduct block randomization in case fewer than 4 patients were recruited per week. This led to unequal sample sizes in the groups. Data collection was stopped after the third recruiting period due to persisting recruitment difficulties. Neither the course facilitators nor the patients were blinded to group allocation.

TAU comprised various therapies, such as medical treatments, phototherapy and progressive muscle relaxation (PMR). As participation in PMR was considered a possible confounding factor, patients were stratified regarding this variable in addition to sex. Dependent variables were assessed 1 week before (t1) and after the MBI (t2; short-term effects). Medium-term effects were assessed by questionnaire in a 3-month follow-up, when patients had returned home (Fig. 1).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Patients were included if they were 18–65 years old, diagnosed with psoriasis according to ICD-10 (International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision) criteria at least 6 months previously, had symptoms of psoriasis during the last 6 months, and sufficient German language skills to answer the questionnaires. Patients were excluded if they had epilepsy, cognitive impairment e.g. due to dementia, severe mental illness (meaning severe affective and anxiety disorders, psychosis, temporary dissociative states) (25) or another itchy skin disease than psoriasis. Due to recruitment difficulties, the last exclusion criterion was modified during the process of the study, so that patients with another itchy skin disease in addition to psoriasis (n = 11) were included if the other dermatological condition did not affect their everyday life more than psoriasis.

Variables

Independent variable: mindfulness-based intervention. The brief MBI consisted of 8 sessions lasting 45–60 min each. These were conducted during 2 consecutive weeks. Each session focussed on different aspects of mindfulness and included mindfulness-based exercises, such as the body scan or mindful perception of breath. In addition, patients were encouraged to exercise mindfulness outside the group sessions. The training was conducted by a psychologist (BSc) with extensive experience (more than 5 years) in mindfulness meditation and meditative bodywork (ME) or an experienced mindfulness and insight mediation teacher (Julia Harfensteller, SAGE Institute for Mindfulness and Health, Berlin). Detailed information regarding the intervention are published (23). Dependent variables. All dependent variables were assessed by self-report using validated questionnaires. Mindfulness was assessed by the Comprehensive Inventory of Mindfulness Experiences (CHIME), consisting of 37 items to be answered on a scale from 1 (“almost never”) to 6 (“almost always”). These can be categorized into 8 subscales (26).

Self-compassion was measured by the German short-form of the Self-Compassion Scale (SCS-D), comprising 12 items to be answered on a 5-point Likert Scale from 1 (“very rarely”) to 5 (“very frequently”) (27, 28).
Itch catastrophizing was measured by the itch catastrophizing subscale of the Itch-Cognition-Questionnaire (ICQ) (29) to be answered on a 5-point Likert Scale, ranging from 0 (“never”) to 4 (“always”).

Social anxiety was measured with the Fear of Negative Evaluation Questionnaire (short scale; FNE-K), consisting of 12 items to be answered on a 5-point Likert Scale, ranging from 0 = “never” to 4 = “very often” (30). In the original questionnaire, the relevant timeframe is 1 month. For this study, it was adapted to 1 week to detect possible changes due to the MBI.

Skin-related measures. Severity of psoriasis was measured by the Self-Administered Psoriasis Area and Severity index (SAPASI), which assesses the extent of affected skin areas and the intensity of the symptoms redness, thickness and scaliness using visual analogue scales (32). Scores can range from 0 to 72: 0 refers to having no psoriasis symptoms, a score > 0 and ≤ 3 refers to mild, a score > 3 and ≤ 15 refers to moderate and a score > 15 refers to severe psoriasis (33).

Further variables. Demographic variables, itch intensity and data on received treatments during the stay at the clinic were additionally assessed. Data regarding the adherence and voluntary engagement in mindfulness practice when returned home are shown in Tables SI and SII.

Data analysis
An a-priori analysis using G*Power (34) indicated that a sample-size of \( n = 54 \) is needed to detect small-to-medium-sized interaction effects \( \left( f = 0.175 \right) \) by a repeated-measures ANOVA with within-between interaction \( \left( \alpha = 0.05, \beta = 0.8, \text{number of groups} = 2, \text{number of measurements} = 3 \right) \). Group allocation (EG vs CG) was used as between-subject factor and measurement time-point \( \left( t_1, t_2, t_3 \right) \) as within-subject factor. The study aimed to recruit 60 patients to compensate for potential dropouts.

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS 27 (35). Repeated-measures ANOVAs were conducted to test effects of the MBI on the dependent variables.

Data were screened for missing items and outliers. When persons had too many missing items according to the author recommendations, the relevant measurement time-point was excluded from analysis. If there was no definition for critical amount of missing items in the test manuals, the maximum amount of missing items allowed was set to 10% (36). In case of single missing items, sum scores were divided by the number of valid items and the mean item score was used in the analyses. Scores deviating more than 3
standard deviations (SD) from the mean were regarded as outliers and excluded from all analyses. Baseline-comparisons regarding the dependent variables and potential control variables were conducted using χ² tests in case of nominal variables and t-tests for independent groups in case of continuous variables.

Repeated-measures ANOVAs were conducted after checking that the requirements for this statistical method were met (37). When the assumption of sphericity was violated and the Greenhouse-Geiser-Epsilon was greater than 0.75, Huynh-Feldt-correction was used. Partial eta square ($\eta^2_p$) was used as effect size, indicating small (0.01 < $\eta^2_p$), medium (0.06 < $\eta^2_p$) or large (0.14 < $\eta^2_p$) effects (38).

Dropout rate, especially at t3, was higher than expected and not all patients who were assigned to the MBI participated in all sessions. Therefore, per-protocol (PP) analyses were conducted (PP; including patients who participated in at least 7/8 group sessions) in addition to the intention-to-treat analysis (ITT). Separate analyses were conducted for short- and medium-term effects, which led to different sample sizes in the different analyses.

In contrast, all patients were included in the ITT analyses. Here, missing items were imputed using the last observation carried forward (LOCF) method. Results of these analyses are presented in Appendix S1.

**Trial registration**

The study was pre-registered in the German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS; trial registration number: DRKS00017429; https://drks.de/search/de/trial/DRKS00017429).

**RESULTS**

**Sample characteristics**

Of 59 patients allocated to EG or CG, data for 39 patients (14 male and 25 female) were included in the PP analysis of short-term effects, and 32 were included in the analysis of medium-term effects. The reduction in sample size occurred due to dropouts/missing data (Fig. 2 and Appendix S2). Mean age of the n = 39 patients was 49.8 years (SD = 10.5; range: 25 to 65). The SAPASI mean score for the whole sample (n = 39) was 6.2 (SD = 3.6; range: 1.0 to 16.1, n = 32), indicating a moderate severity of psoriasis (33). At baseline, participants of the EG and CG with complete data sets differed regarding the severity of psoriasis measured by the SAPASI [t(21) = 2.43, p = 0.024; n = 23]. No other group differences occurred at baseline (Table 1).

**Primary outcomes short-term analysis**

The short-term analyses (n = 39) revealed a significant interaction effect on mindfulness (CHIME) $F(1,35) = 7.46, p = 0.010, \eta^2_p = 0.18$ and tendency to a significant effect on self-compassion (SCS-D) $F(1,36) = 3.03, p = 0.090, \eta^2_p = 0.08$.

Moreover, in the short-term analysis significant time effects were found on mindfulness (CHIME) $F(1,35) = 25.57, p < 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.42$ and self-compassion (SCS-D) $F(1,36) = 10.28, p = 0.003, \eta^2_p = 0.22$. No group effects were found.

**Primary outcomes: medium-term analysis**

In the medium-term analyses (n = 32), a tendency to a significant interaction effect was found on mindfulness (CHIME) $F(2,56) = 2.47, p = 0.094, \eta^2_p = 0.08$, but not on self-compassion (SCS-D) $F(2,56) = 0.59, p = 0.558, \eta^2_p = 0.02$. A time effect was found on mindfulness (CHIME) $F(2,56) = 7.23, p = 0.002, \eta^2_p = 0.21$. No group effects occurred.

**Secondary outcomes**

There was a significant interaction effect on the severity of psoriasis (SAPASI) $F(2,42) = 4.15, p = 0.023, \eta^2_p = 0.17$, $t$-test for independent samples.

---

**Table I. Sample characteristics of 39 patients, who were included in the per-protocol (PP) short-term analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CG (n = 21)</th>
<th>EG (n = 18)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>49.71 (10.23)</td>
<td>49.83 (10.99)</td>
<td>0.972(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (61.91)</td>
<td>12 (66.67)</td>
<td>0.757(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existing mental disorders, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (14.29)</td>
<td>6 (33.33)</td>
<td>0.255(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous experience with mindfulness or meditation, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (23.81)</td>
<td>6 (33.33)</td>
<td>0.510(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (66.7)</td>
<td>10 (55.56)</td>
<td>0.738(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without possibility to go to college</td>
<td>14 (66.7)</td>
<td>10 (55.56)</td>
<td>0.738(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With possibility to go to college</td>
<td>14 (66.7)</td>
<td>10 (55.56)</td>
<td>0.738(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>4 (19.05)</td>
<td>4 (22.22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatological medication, n (%)</td>
<td>20 (95.24)</td>
<td>13 (72.22)</td>
<td>0.145(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topical therapy</td>
<td>4 (19.05)</td>
<td>7 (38.89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic therapy</td>
<td>3 (14.29)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent variables, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness (CHIME) [1–6]</td>
<td>3.94 (0.52)</td>
<td>3.68 (0.51)</td>
<td>0.146(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-compassion (SCS-D) [1–5]</td>
<td>3.17 (0.58)</td>
<td>2.88 (0.69)</td>
<td>0.165(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itch catastrophizing (ICQ) [0–4]</td>
<td>1.57 (0.77)</td>
<td>1.56 (1.24)</td>
<td>0.962(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social anxiety (FNE) [1–5]</td>
<td>2.54 (0.98)</td>
<td>3.12 (1.05)</td>
<td>0.090(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived stress (PSS-10) [0–4]</td>
<td>1.89 (0.66)</td>
<td>2.06 (0.69)</td>
<td>0.454(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of psoriasis (SAPASI) [0–72]</td>
<td>6.97 (3.87)</td>
<td>4.99 (2.74)</td>
<td>0.124(^3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reported means of the dependent variables refer to the baseline-measurement (t1; pre-intervention); EG: experimental group; CG: control group; SD: standard deviation; CHIME: Comprehensive Inventory of Mindfulness Experiences; SCS-D: German short form of the Self-Compassion Scale; ICQ: Itch-Cognition-Questionnaire; FNE: Fear of Negative Evaluation Questionnaire; PSS-10: Perceived Stress Scale 10; SAPASI: Self-Administered Psoriasis Area and Severity index. \(^1\)t-test for independent samples.
indicating that the course of the severity of psoriasis from \( t1 \) to \( t3 \) differed between the EG and CG \( [F(1,21)=7.02, p=0.015, \eta_p^2=0.25] \). Furthermore, \( t \)-tests for dependent samples indicated a reduction in the severity of psoriasis (SAPASI) from \( t1 \) to \( t3 \) in the CG \( [t(13)=2.36; p=0.035] \), but not in the EG \( [t(8)=-2.19, p=0.060; \text{see Fig. 3}] \). No further interaction effects were found, either on itch catastrophizing (ICQ) \( [F(2, 60)=0.87, p=0.424, \eta_p^2=0.03] \), or on social anxiety (FNE-K) \( [F(1.68, 50.29)=0.87, p=0.408, \eta_p^2=0.03] \) or perceived stress (PSS-10) \( [F(2, 60)=0.08, p=0.927, \eta_p^2<0.01] \). Time effects were found for stress (PSS-10) \( [F(2,60)=9.46, p<0.001, \eta_p^2=0.24] \) and the severity of psoriasis (SAPASI) \( [F(2,42)=6.63, p=0.003, \eta_p^2=0.24] \). No group effects were found.

**DISCUSSION**

This study investigated the effects of participation in a brief MBI in patients with psoriasis during a stay at a clinic for chronically ill patients. Primary outcome parameters were mindfulness and self-compassion, both assessed by validated questionnaires. Results of the short-term PP analysis indicated a significant large effect on self-reported mindfulness and a tendency to a significant moderate effect on self-reported self-compassion (38). Results of the medium-term PP analysis also yielded a tendency to a significant moderate effect on mindfulness (38). No other psychological variables were significantly affected by participation in the MBI. In addition to the reported short-term interaction effect in the PP analysis, the ITT analysis also showed a significant medium-term interaction effect for mindfulness in favour of the EG. This slightly different result \( (p=0.094 \text{ vs } p=0.019) \) can be explained by the different sample size in the 2 analyses. As in the PP analysis no other interaction effects occurred in the ITT analysis.

Also, even though an improvement in skin status was observed in both groups at the end of the stay at the clinic,
it is striking that, in contrast to other studies (18–21), the follow-up assessment revealed an improvement in skin status in the CG, but not in the EG. This finding could be interpreted as regression to the mean, as the baseline severity score was higher in the CG than in the EG. Moreover, although skin status in the EG worsened during the time at home, levels of itch catastrophizing, stress and social anxiety did not simultaneously increase in this group.

To better understand the results of this study, it is important to compare the kind of intervention and the setting used in this study with the interventions and settings used in other studies. In previous studies (18, 19, 22) extensive 8-week MBIs were applied to outpatients and the CG consisted of patients, who did not receive any kind of additional intervention. Thus, missing effects of the current study could have resulted from the shortness of the intervention and/or the type of CG, which received different therapies during a stay at a clinic in a relaxing atmosphere. This may have superimposed effects of the brief MBI. Further studies should therefore investigate the effects of a brief MBI in an outpatient setting and include a control group, which does not receive such comprehensive therapies.

Moreover, research on effect mechanisms of MBIs is needed. Increases in mindfulness and reductions in rumination have been suggested to mediate the effect of MBIs on somatic complaints (39). Regarding psoriasis, it is conceivable that mindfulness may help patients to decelerate from dysfunctional thoughts (e.g. itch catastrophizing), which might subsequently lead to a change in illness-related coping-strategies (e.g. less scratching). When designing this study, it was planned to also use mediation models to explore potential effect mechanisms: We proposed that the effects could either be mediated by a physiological (mindfulness/self-compassion↑ → stress↓ → severity of psoriasis↓) or an emotional-cognitive (mindfulness/self-compassion↑ → social anxiety/itch catastrophizing↓ → severity of psoriasis↓) pathway (23). Due to the lack of effects on most variables, these mediation analyses were not conducted. However, effect mechanisms should be addressed in future studies in case mindfulness has a direct effect on skin status. In addition, the investigation of the effects of mindfulness on disease-related behavioural measures (e.g. scratching) in a laboratory setting, as well as the use of physiological parameters, such as heart rate variability, could deepen the understanding of the underlying effect mechanisms.

**Study limitations**

The special context in which the MBI was delivered limited the generalizability. Furthermore, the large age range of the participants can be seen as limiting factor as it could have reduced the effects of the training. Homogenous age groups might improve the exchange in the group. This could be tested in future studies. It is also possible that the follow-up period was too short, as improvements through mindfulness practice may not be linear (40). Another limitation is the lack of an active control group as it has been used in a study that compared the effects of a brief MBI against a psycho-educational nutrition programme that was conducted in a similar setting and format, differing only in the aspect of mindfulness (41).

Moreover, data collection was impaired by the pandemic situation, so that the planned sample size of 60 patients could not be reached and dropout was higher than expected. The study thus remained underpowered.

**Conclusion**

This study investigated the effects of a brief MBI in patients with psoriasis. Large significant effects on self-reported mindfulness were found. However, effects on other psychological variables, measured by self-reports, did not reach significance. Thus, the effects of such a short intervention should be investigated further in a larger sample and different setting, possibly also using a group receiving a less comprehensive treatment than that used in the current study as TAU.
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