

Table S1. Summary of outcomes, exposures, predictors, and related variables according to each study step

STEP	Study design / purpose	Outcomes (primary / secondary)	Exposures	Predictors / Independent variables	Potential confounders	Effect modifiers / Other relevant variables
STEP 1	Cross-sectional comparison between AD patients and healthy controls	Primary: Mechanical alloeknesis (MA) score (NRS) - Secondary: Spontaneous itch (NRS), skin dryness (TEWL, SC hydration)	None (single-visit observational study)	Group (AD vs. control), skin site (lesional vs. non-lesional)	Age, sex, ambient conditions (temperature, humidity, sweating rate [not measured])	None explicitly analyzed
STEP 2	Cross-sectional sub-analysis in AD patients	Primary: Correlation coefficients between MA and disease-related parameters	None (single-visit blood sampling)	EASI, serum TARC total IgE, eosinophil count	Age, sex, disease severity, duration	None explicitly analyzed
STEP 3	Prospective cohort study (before–after evaluation of dupilumab treatment)	Primary: Change in MA scores pre- and post-dupilumab - Secondary: Changes in TARC, IgE, eosinophil count	Dupilumab administration (anti-IL-4Ra antibody)	Treatment duration, baseline TARC, baseline severity	Age, sex, concomitant topical therapy	Possible treatment response heterogeneity (baseline biomarker level)

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; EASI, Eczema Area and Severity Index; Eos, eosinophil count; IgE, immunoglobulin E; TARC, thymus- and activation-regulated chemokine.

Table S2. Summary of descriptive and inferential statistics for Fig. 2a (spontaneous itch score)

Variable (Outcome)	Group	Mean (95% CI)	Comparison (post hoc)	P-value	Test
Spontaneous itch score	Healthy control	0.00 (0.00–0.00)	HC vs NL	=0.999	One-way ANOVA (Tukey post hoc)
	AD non-lesion	0.00 (0.00–0.00)	HC vs L	<0.0001**	
	AD lesion	2.63 (1.76–3.49)	NL vs L	<0.0001**	

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; CI, Confidence Interval; HC, healthy control; NL, non-lesional skin; L, lesional skin.

Table S3: Summary of descriptive and inferential statistics for Fig. 2b (Comparison of MA scores between skin lesion conditions at the same force)

Force (mN)	Group	Mean (95% CI)	P-value (post hoc: HC vs NL / HC vs L / NL vs L)	Test
5.8	Healthy control	0.42 (0.15–0.69)	HC vs NL: =0.999 / HC vs L: <0.0001** / NL vs L: <0.0001**	One-way ANOVA (Tukey post hoc)
	AD non-lesion	0.17 (0.06–0.28)		
	AD lesion	2.91 (1.98–3.83)		
9.8	Healthy control	0.90 (0.46–1.34)	HC vs NL: =0.999 / HC vs L: 0.0001** / NL vs L: <0.0001**	„
	AD non-lesion	0.58 (0.27–0.88)		
	AD lesion	3.17 (2.19–4.14)		
13.7	Healthy control	1.42 (0.81–2.03)	HC vs NL: 0.981 / HC vs L: 0.002** / NL vs L: <0.0001**	„
	AD non-lesion	0.82 (0.37–1.27)		
	AD lesion	3.42 (2.46–4.38)		
19.6	Healthy control	1.55 (0.91–2.19)	HC vs NL: =0.999 / HC vs L: <0.0001** / NL vs L: <0.0001**	„
	AD non-lesion	1.31 (0.74–1.88)		
	AD lesion	3.93 (2.90–4.95)		

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; CI, Confidence Interval; HC, healthy control; NL, non-lesional skin; L, lesional skin.

Table S4: Summary of descriptive and inferential statistics for Figure 2b (Effect of different forces on MA scores under the same lesion conditions)

Group	Force (mN)	Mean (95% CI)	P-value (vs 5.8 mN)	Test
Healthy control	5.8	0.42 (0.15–0.69)	—	One-way ANOVA (Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test vs 5.8 mN)
	9.8	0.90 (0.46–1.35)	0.384	”
	13.7	1.42 (0.81–2.03)	0.015 *	”
	19.6	1.55 (0.91–2.19)	0.005**	”
AD non-lesion	5.8	0.17 (0.06–0.28)	—	One-way ANOVA (Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test vs 5.8 mN)
	9.8	0.576 (0.27–0.88)	0.326	”
	13.7	0.82 (0.37–1.27)	0.053	”
	19.6	1.31 (0.74–1.88)	0.0002**	”
AD lesion	5.8	2.91 (1.98–3.83)	—	One-way ANOVA (Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test vs 5.8 mN)
	9.8	3.17 (2.19–4.14)	0.962	”
	13.7	3.42 (2.46–4.38)	0.790	”
	19.6	3.93 (2.90–4.95)	0.303	”

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis. CI, Confidence Interval.

Table S5: Summary of descriptive and inferential statistics for Fig. 3a2c (TEWL values)

Variable (Outcome)	Group	Mean (95% CI)	P-value (post hoc: HC vs NL / HC vs L / NL vs L)	Test
TEWL	Healthy control	30.51 (19.67–41.36)	HC vs NL: 0.380 / HC vs L: 0.472 / NL vs L: 0.032*	One-way ANOVA (Tukey post hoc)
	AD non-lesion	20.13 (14.46–25.80)		
	AD lesion	39.70 (24.54–54.86)		

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; CI, Confidence Interval; HC, healthy control; NL, non-lesional skin; L, lesional skin.

Table S6: Summary of descriptive and inferential statistics for Fig. 3b2d (SC hydration values)

Variable (Outcome)	Group	Mean (95% CI)	P-value (post hoc: HC vs NL / HC vs L / NL vs L)	Test
SC hydration	Healthy control	46.49 (38.33 – 54.66)	HC vs NL: <0.001** / HC vs L: <0.0001** / NL vs L: 0.408	One-way ANOVA (Tukey post hoc)
	AD non-lesion	28.52 (21.91 – 35.13)		
	AD lesion	22.48 (16.65– 28.32)		

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis; CI, Confidence Interval; HC, healthy control; NL, non-lesional skin; L, lesional skin.

Table S7. Correlation between skin dryness parameters and itch NRS scores in non-lesional and lesional skin of STEP 1 AD patients.

NRS	Non-lesion				Lesion			
	TEWL		SC hydration		TEWL		SC hydration	
	r	P-value	r	P-value	r	P-value	r	P-value
Spontaneous itch	/	/	/	/	0.215	0.245	-0.274	0.136
5.8 mN	-0.055	0.765	0.095	0.604	0.088	0.637	-0.188	0.311
9.8 mN	0.013	0.944	0.151	0.382	0.071	0.706	-0.161	0.386
13.7 mN	-0.081	0.661	0.057	0.758	0.194	0.297	-0.138	0.459
19.6 mN	-0.051	0.781	0.153	0.404	0.126	0.498	-0.205	0.269

Correlation coefficients (r) and p-values are shown for relationships between TEWL or SC hydration and itch NRS scores (spontaneous itch and MA) in non-lesional and lesional skin. Correlation coefficients were calculated using Pearson's correlation test.

Abbreviations: TEWL, transepidermal water loss; SC, stratum corneum; NRS, numeric rating scale; MA, mechanical alloknosis; AD, atopic dermatitis.

Table S8: Summary of descriptive and inferential statistics for changes over time associated with dupilumab administration for Fig. 43a (level of spontaneous itch)

Time point	Mean (95% CI)	P-value (vs. pre)	Test
Pre	4.46 (3.49–5.44)	—	One-way ANOVA (Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test)
1 st	3.31 (1.68–4.93)	0.624	”
2 nd	2.86 (0.90–4.81)	0.443	”
3 rd	1.82 (0.32–3.32)	0.011*	”
4 th	2.86 (0.76–4.95)	0.443	”
5 th	1.67 (0.51–2.82)	0.011 *	”
6 th	2.50 (1.05–3.95)	0.271	”
7 th	2.00 (0.52–3.48)	0.087	”
8 th	2.00 (0.67–3.33)	0.087	”
9 th	2.17 (0.94–3.39)	0.131	”

Abbreviation: CI, Confidence Interval.

Table S9: Summary of descriptive and inferential statistics for changes over time associated with dupilumab administration for Fig. 43b (MA scores at 5.8 mN bending force)

Time point	Mean (95% CI)	P-value (vs. pre)	Test
Pre	4.82 (3.55–6.09)	—	One-way ANOVA (Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test)
1 st	3.36 (1.64–5.08)	0.459	”
2 nd	2.57 (0.63–4.51)	0.184	”
3 rd	1.88 (0.51–3.25)	0.010*	”
4 th	2.81 (0.61–5.01)	0.295	”
5 th	2.22 (0.54–3.91)	0.049*	”
6 th	2.28 (0.66–3.90)	0.128	”
7 th	2.22 (0.26–4.18)	0.114	”
8 th	1.78 (0.56–3.00)	0.040 *	”
9 th	2.67 (1.07–4.26)	0.276	”

Abbreviation: CI, Confidence Interval.

Table S10: Summary of descriptive and inferential statistics for changes over time associated with dupilumab administration for Fig. 43c (MA scores at 9.8 mN bending force)

Time point	Mean (95% CI)	P-value (vs. pre)	Test
Pre	4.82 (3.55 – 6.09)	—	One-way ANOVA (Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test)
1 st	3.36 (1.64 – 5.08)	0.415	”
2 nd	2.57 (0.63 – 4.51)	0.154	”
3 rd	1.88 (0.51 – 3.25)	0.007**	”
4 th	2.81 (0.61 – 5.01)	0.256	”
5 th	1.89 (0.74 – 3.04)	0.013*	”
6 th	2.28 (0.66 – 3.90)	0.105	”
7 th	2.22 (0.26 – 4.18)	0.092	”
8 th	1.78 (0.55 – 3.00)	0.030*	”
9 th	2.61 (1.24 – 3.98)	0.214	”

Abbreviation: CI, Confidence Interval.

Table S11: Summary of descriptive and inferential statistics for changes over time associated with dupilumab administration for Fig. 43d (MA scores at 13.7 mN bending force)

Time point	Mean (95% CI)	P-value (vs pre)	Test
Pre	5.18 (3.70 – 6.66)	—	One-way ANOVA (Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test)
1 st	4.21 (2.53 – 5.88)	0.858	”
2 nd	2.62 (2.93 – 4.31)	0.086	”
3 rd	3.09 (1.59 – 4.60)	0.129	”
4 th	3.43 (1.30 – 5.56)	0.445	”
5 th	2.48 (1.17 – 3.80)	0.034*	”
6 th	3.22 (1.79 – 4.65)	0.373	”
7 th	2.56 (0.98 – 4.13)	0.102	”
8 th	2.33 (0.75 – 3.91)	0.060	”
9 th	2.78 (1.28 – 4.27)	0.164	”

Abbreviation: CI, Confidence Interval.

Table S12: Summary of descriptive and inferential statistics for changes over time associated with dupilumab administration for Fig. 43e (MA scores at 19.6 mN bending force)

Time point	Mean (95% CI)	P-value (vs pre)	Test
Pre	5.97 (4.73 – 7.22)	—	One-way ANOVA (Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test)
1 st	4.54 (2.81 – 6.27)	0.454	”
2 nd	2.91 (1.15 – 4.66)	0.020*	”
3 rd	3.46 (1.91 – 5.00)	0.034*	”
4 th	4.33 (2.27 – 6.40)	0.508	”
5 th	3.07 (1.65 – 4.50)	0.016*	”
6 th	3.43 (2.42 – 4.45)	0.114	”
7 th	2.72 (1.30 – 4.15)	0.019*	”
8 th	2.67 (0.71 – 4.62)	0.016*	”
9 th	2.89 (1.33 – 4.45)	0.030*	”

Abbreviation: CI, Confidence Interval.

Table S13. Correlation between the number of dupilumab doses and itch NRS scores in STEP 3 AD patients.

		From pre-dose to 5 th dose		From 5 th to 9 th dose	
		r	P-value	r	P-value
NRS	Spontaneous itch	-0.388	0.006**	0.024	0.898
	5.8 mN	-0.360	0.012*	0.023	0.903
	9.8 mN	-0.359	0.012*	-0.141	0.441
	13.7 mN	-0.299	0.042*	-0.122	0.507
	19.6 mN	-0.370	0.009**	-0.251	0.165

Correlation coefficients (r) and p-values are shown for relationships between the cumulative number of dupilumab administrations and itch NRS scores (spontaneous itch and MA) from baseline to the 5th dose and from the 5th to the 9th dose. Correlation coefficients were calculated using Pearson's correlation test. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01.

Abbreviations: NRS, numeric rating scale; AD, atopic dermatitis; MA, mechanical alloknesis.

Table S14: Descriptive and inferential statistics of changes in Eos levels before and after dupilumab treatment (Fig. S3a)

Variable (Outcome)	Condition	Mean (95% CI)	P-value	Test
Blood eosinophil count (/μL)	Pre	594.0 (346.8 – 841.2)	—	Paired t-test
	Post	313.0 (190.8 – 435.2)	0.052	

Abbreviation: CI, Confidence Interval.

Table S15: Descriptive and inferential statistics of changes in IgE levels before and after dupilumab treatment (Fig. S3b)

Variable (Outcome)	Condition	Mean (95% CI)	P-value	Test
Serum total IgE (IU/mL)	Pre	11219.0 (1902.0 – 20536.0)	—	Paired t-test
	Post	2471.0 (453.2 – 4488.0)	0.026*	

Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; IgE, immunoglobulin E.

Table S16: Descriptive and inferential statistics of changes in TARC levels before and after dupilumab treatment (Fig. S3c)

Variable (Outcome)	Condition	Mean (95% CI)	P-value	Test
Serum TARC (pg/mL)	Pre	4223.0 (1335.0 – 7112.0)	—	Paired t-test
	Post	293.6 (201.2 – 386.0)	0.013*	

Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; TARC, thymus- and activation-regulated chemokine.