Supplementary material has been published as submitted. It has not been copyedited, typeset or checked for scientific content by Acta Dermato-Venereologica

Table SI. Study population (N = 344)

	N (344)
Gender	
male	186 (54.1%)
female	158 (45.9%)
Age, median value (range)	63.5 (22-94)
married	264 (78%)
unmarried	75 (22%)
Breslow thickness, median value (range)	1.26 (0-10)
≤1 mm	221 (64%)
>1 mm	123 (36%)
Who noticed melanoma	
dermatologist	103 (30%)
not dermatologist	238 (70%)
Never examined by dermatologist before melanoma diagnosis	156 (45%)
Examinated less than three years before diagnosis	188 (55%)
years since last dermatologic examination	
less than one year	134 (39%)
more than one year and less than two years	14 (4%)
3+ years	196 (57%)
Moles number	
<20	193 (56%)
20-50	45 (13%)
50+	104 (30%)
Simple patient	176 (52%)
Complex patient	166 (48%)
Visibility of lesion	
visible	219 (65%)
not visible	118 (35%)

Table SII. Characteristics of the patient study population stratified by self-reported history of a skin examination by a dermatologist before a melanoma diagnosis (N = 344)

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation

	Never examined by	Examined by dermatologist less	P	
	dermatologist before	than three years before		
	melanoma diagnosis	melanoma diagnosis		
Gender				
male	82 (53%)	104 (55%)	.66	
female	74 (47%)	84 (45%)	.00	
Age				
≤63	68 (44%)	104 (55%)	04	
63+	88 (56%)	84 (45%)	.04	
married	116 (75%)	148 (80%)	.36	
unmarried	38 (25%)	37 (20%)	.30	
Breslow thickness (mean ± SD)	1.5±1,6	0.9±1,2	.003	
≤1 mm	79 (51%)	142 (75%)	< 001	
>1 mm	77 (49%)	46 (25%)	<.001	
Who noticed melanoma				
dermatologist	12 (8%)	91 (49%)	<.001	
not dermatologist	142 (92%)	96 (51%)		
Moles number				
<50	129 (83%)	111 (59%)	<.001	
50+	27 (17%)	77 (41%)		
Patient's complexity				
Simple patients	102 (66%)	74 (40%)		
Complex patients	53 (34%)	113 (60%)	<.001	
Visibility of lesion				
visible	100 (65%)	119 (65%)	0	
not visible	53 (35%)	65 (35%)	.9	

Table SIII. Characteristics of the patient study population stratified by Breslow thickness (N = 344)

	Breslow thickness		
	≤1mm	>1mm	P
Gender			
male	113 (51%)	73 (59%)	.18
female	108 (49%	50 (41%)	.10
Age			
≤63	119 (54%)	53 (43%)	.07
63+	102 (46%)	70 (57%)	.07
Married	174 (80%)	90 (74%)	27
Unmarried	44 (20%)	31 (26%)	.27
Who noticed melanoma			
dermatologist	86 (39%)	17 (14%)	< 001
not dermatologist	132 (61%)	106 (86%)	<.001
Moles number			
<50	149 (67%)	91 (74%)	.7
50+	72 (33%)	32 (26%)	. /
Patient's complexity			
Simple patients	112 (51%)	64 (53%)	.2
Complex patients	109 (49%)	57 (47%)	
Visibility of lesion			
visible	142 (66%)	77 (64%)	.7
not visible	74 (34%)	44 (36%)	
Dermatologist examination before melanoma diagnosis			
Never examinated	79 (36%)	77 (63%)	
Examinated less than three years before	142 (64%)	46 (37%)	<.001
diagnosis			

Table SIV. Multivariate analysis for assessing the effects of considered variables on the likelihood that participants had melanoma with a Breslow thickness >1 mm (N=344)

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio

	OR	95% CI	P
Gender			
Male	1.6	0.9-2.5	.07
Female	1	-	
Age	1.01	0.99-1.03	.16
Dermatologist examination before melanoma diagnosis			
Never examinated	2.2	1.3-3.7	
Examinated less than three years before	1	-	.003
diagnosis			
Moles number			
<50	1	-	.5
50+	0.8	0.4-1.6	
Patient's complexity			
Complex patients	1	-	.2
Simple patients	1.8	0.8-2.9	
Who noticed melanoma			
Not dermatologist	3.2	1.6-6.1	<.001
Dermatologist	1	-	
Visibility of lesion			
visible	1	-	.6
not visible	1.16	0.7-1.9	