

Tinea Capitis Caused by *Trichophyton equinum*

Jochen Brasch¹, Birgit Lögering¹ and Yvonne Gräser²

¹Department of Dermatology, University Hospitals of Schleswig-Holstein, Campus Kiel, Schittenhelmstr.7, DE-24105 Kiel, and ²Institute of Microbiology and Hygiene, Charité, Berlin, Germany. E-mail: jbrasch@dermatology.uni-kiel.de
Accepted September 29, 2008.

Sir,

Tinea caused by *Trichophyton equinum* is currently a very rare infection in Europe. During the period 1951 to 1987 only one out of 4571 cases of tinea diagnosed in Santiago de Compostela, Spain, was attributed to *T. equinum* (tinea corporis) (1). In England a case of tinea capitis was reported in 1994 in a woman on systemic therapy with corticosteroids who went horse-riding (2). Another case was reported from Germany in 1998; a girl with tinea of the neck who had been infected by her pony (3). A racing horse was the source of infection with *T. equinum* in a case of onychomycosis reported from Finland in 1998 (4). Tinea of the eyebrows was acquired by another child through contact with a pony in Spain in 2001 (5). We report here on a child infected by *T. equinum* and show for the first time that sequencing can be used successfully in a clinical setting as a diagnostic tool to differentiate *T. equinum* from *T. tonsurans*.

CASE REPORT

A 5-year-old boy presented with a slowly enlarging hairless plaque on the back of his head that had been progressing for 2 weeks. In addition, some small erythematous scaling lesions had occurred on his body. His general health was good. The boy lived on a farm that bred horses. Some of the horses had previously had tinea, which had been treated successfully by a veterinary surgeon, but an identification of the causal dermatophyte had not been attempted. The boy had also had contact with dogs, cats and cattle. His siblings and playmates had no skin lesions.

On clinical examination an area of slightly erythematous and scaling skin with a diameter of approximately 5 cm was seen on the back of the head. The lesion was sharply demarcated and almost free of hair (Fig. 1). On the trunk and extremities several small distinct erythematous and scaling plaques were seen. The nuchal lymph nodes were slightly enlarged.

Hair plucked from the margin of the occipital lesion and scales collected from this site did not reveal fungi by direct microscopy (KOH). DNA was extracted from the scales and used for PCR; a positive result occurred with a pair of primers designed to detect the species of the *T. tonsurans* complex, but not with primers specific for the *T. rubrum* complex. In a first culture on Sabouraud agar a *Trichophyton* species was cultivated that was subsequently analysed further by morphological, physiological and genetic methods. Conventional methods as well as



Fig. 1. Tinea capitis caused by *Trichophyton equinum*.

the subsequent sequence analysis of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region finally allowed us to identify the strain unambiguously as *T. equinum*. Systemic therapy with griseofulvin (10 mg/kg body weight) plus topical application of ciclopirox resulted in complete healing and re-growth of hair after 3 months.

DISCUSSION

Identification of *T. equinum* is difficult, but epidemiologically and clinically important. Morphological criteria have to be complemented by physiological tests (3, 6). On Sabouraud agar our strain rapidly developed a flat colony with a granular surface, a radial border and a slightly yellow reverse side. Microscopically clavate and globose microconidia and sparse small thin- and smooth-walled macroconidia with few chambers were seen. As a characteristic feature of *T. equinum* (3, 6) no growth occurred on Trichophyton agars 1–4, 6 and 7, but supplementation with nicotinic acid (Trichophyton agar 5) yielded good growth. Tests for urease and hair perforation were positive. These results allowed identification of *T. equinum* (3, 6), but in consideration of the arguable synonymization of this species with *T. tonsurans* (7, 8) verification by genetic analysis was considered appropriate.

For this purpose DNA was extracted from culture material and used in analysis of the ITS region of the isolate. A single C/T substitution out of more than 600 base pairs (bp) sequenced within the first 30 bp of ITS-1 was detected that discriminates *T. equinum* from *T. tonsurans* (Tables I and II). This is a rather subtle dif-

Table I. Differentiation of *Trichophyton equinum* and *T. tonsurans* by use of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS)-sequence (655 bp) shown in the validated IDNSTM (Integrated Database Network System) database supplied by SmartGene (Zug, Switzerland). The clinical isolate shows 100% identity with the *T. equinum* reference strain (AF170458) in the database. In the column "Mismatches" the 1 bp difference from the *T. tonsurans* reference strain (AF170479) is documented. Similar sequences are found in the IDNSTM database

Dataset	Accession	Organism	Sequence length (bp)	Identities (bp)	Mismatches (bp)	Match length (bp)	Score
Dermatophytes ITS	AF170458	<i>Trichophyton equinum</i>	845	655 (100%)	0	655	1298
Dermatophytes ITS	AF170479	<i>Trichophyton tonsurans</i>	845	654 (99.8 %)	1	655	1291
Dermatophytes ITS	Z98014	<i>Arthroderma vanbreuseghemii</i>	717	646 (98.6 %)	9	655	1215

ference and most of the PCR-based strategies to identify dermatophytes had in fact proved unsuitable for its identification. *T. equinum* and *T. tonsurans* have only a very few marginally different gene regions, including the ITS-1 region used in our case, and sequencing is necessary to detect such minor differences.

Despite their similar genetic make-up (7) *T. equinum* and *T. tonsurans* have quite distinct ecological niches. *T. tonsurans* is a strictly anthropophilic dermatophyte, whereas *T. equinum* is a zoophilic agent with horses as its main host. According to recent findings they also differ in their expression of enzymes and in the mating type. Based on these differences and supported by modern genetic techniques (9) *T. equinum* and *T. tonsurans* are now considered to be separate species (9, 10). Our case shows for the first time that sequencing can be applied successfully as a diagnostic tool in a clinical setting for the identification of *T. equinum*. Sequencing can be performed within a few days and in the future may therefore replace the conventional differentiation of *T. equinum*, which usually takes 2–3 weeks.

Unambiguous and rapid identification of *T. equinum* and *T. tonsurans* is necessary in order to determine the source of infection quickly. *T. equinum* is almost always communicated by horses or ponies (ringworm is a common reason to ban the animals from horse shows), whereas *T. tonsurans* is spread by humans. If in the example presented here the isolate had been misidentified as *T. tonsurans* an inappropriate search for human transmitters would have been the consequence. In turn, efforts to decontaminate horses and stables

would have been neglected. The treatment of tinea caused by *T. equinum*, however, appears not to request any other measures than those used for tinea induced by other dermatophytes. According to the few published reports (2–5) terbinafine, ketoconazole and griseofulvin are effective drugs.

Our isolate is deposited in the Deutsche Sammlung für Zellkulturen und Mikroorganismen (DSMZ 21688).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Mrs V. Beck-Jendroscheck and Mrs K. Voss for their excellent technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- Pereiro Miguens M, Pereiro M, Pereiro M. Review of dermatophytoses in Galicia from 1951 to 1987, and comparison with other areas of Spain. *Mycopathologia* 1991; 113: 65–78.
- Burden AD, Tillman DM, Richardson MD. Human *Trichophyton equinum* infection treated with terbinafine. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 1994; 19: 359–360.
- Brasch J, Fölster-Holst R, Christophers E. Tinea durch *Trichophyton equinum*. *Hautarzt* 1998; 49: 397–402.
- Huovinen S, Tunnela E, Huovinen P, Kuijpers AFA, Suhonen R. Human onychomycosis caused by *Trichophyton equinum*. *Br J Dermatol* 1998; 138: 1082–1084.
- Amor E, Gutiérrez MJ, Lamóneda C, del Palacio A, Pereiro M. Terbinafine treatment of *Trichophyton equinum* in a child. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2001; 26: 276–278.
- Summerbell RC, Kane J. The genera *Trichophyton* and *Epidermophyton*. In: Kane J, Summerbell R, Sigler L, Krajden S, Land G, editors. *Laboratory handbook of dermatophytes*. Belmont CA: Star Publishing Co., 1997: p. 131–191.
- Gräser Y, Kuipers A, Presber W, de Hoog S. Molecular taxonomy of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* and *T. tonsurans*. *Med Mycol* 1999; 37: 315–330.
- de Hoog GS, Guarro J, Gené J, Figueras MJ. Hyphomycetes, dermatophytes. Genus: *Trichophyton*. In: de Hoog GS, Guarro J, Gené J, Figueras MJ, editors. *Atlas of clinical fungi*. Reus: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelfcultures, Universitat Rovira i Vigili, 2000: p. 954–994.
- Summerbell RC, Moore MK, Starink-Willems M, van Iperen A. ITS barcodes for *Trichophyton tonsurans* and *T. equinum*. *Med Mycol* 2007; 45: 193–200.
- Gräser Y, Scott J, Summerbell R. The new species concept in dermatophytes – a polyphasic approach. *Mycopathologia* 2008; 166: 239–256.

Table II. Internal transcribed spacer (ITS)-sequence alignment of the first 60 bp of the ITS-1 of the clinical isolate (upper row) and the *Trichophyton tonsurans* reference strain (lower row) by use of the freely available and validated database for identification of dermatophytes (www.cbs.knaw.nl) supplied by the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelfcultures (CBS, Utrecht, The Netherlands).

gacattaacgcgcagccggaggctggccccccaggataggccaaacgtccgtca
x
atcattaacgcgcagccggaggctggccccccaggataggccaaacgtccgtca

The C/T substitution that discriminates both species is marked by an "x" (26th base pair) between the rows