

## Errata

Leirskar J, Nordbø H, Thoresen NR, Henaug T, von der Fehr FR. A four to six years follow-up of indirect resin composite inlays/onlays. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2003;61:247–251.

Table 1 on page 248 of issue no. 61(4) was printed with several of the values skewed. The corrected table is reproduced here.

Table 1. Localization and number of ratings for the different types of indirect resin composite inlays/onlays

Ratings	2-surface			3-surface		Onlay			Total no.
	'A'	'B'	'D'	'A'	'B'	'A'	'B'	'D'	
Premolars (no.)	13	1	1*	11	7	8	7	1†	49
Molars (no.)	6	1	1‡	2		3	2		15
Total no.		23			20		21		64§

\* Caries lesion.

† Fractured tooth.

‡ Restoration replaced by gold.

§ Thirty-four restorations in the upper, 30 in the lower jaw. Chi-square tests showed a significant difference in success rates between 2-surface and 3-surface/onlay restorations ( $\chi^2 = 6.148$ , d.f. = 1,  $0.02 > P > 0.01$ ), but not between premolars and molars.

We apologize to the authors, to the editor, and to readers for this lapse.

*Publisher*