RESEARCH ARTICLE



A prospective study on maternal periodontal diseases and neonatal adverse outcomes

Ping Wen^a, Huijun Li^b, Xiaoyi Xu^a, Feng Zhang^b, Dan Zhao^{c,d}, Rong Yu^a, Tianfan Cheng^d, Hao Wang^e, Chuanzhong Yang^f, Wei Qin^g, Xiugiao Yang^a, Jilong Yao^g and Lijian Jin^d

^aDivision of Science & Education, Shenzhen Maternity & Child Healthcare Hospital, Shenzhen, China; ^bDivision of Stomatology, Shenzhen Maternity & Child Healthcare Hospital, Shenzhen, China; ^cBeijing Stomatological Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China; ^dDivision of Periodontology & Implant Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China; ^eDivision of Pediatrics, Shenzhen Maternity & Child Healthcare Hospital, Shenzhen, China; ^fDivision of Neonatology, Shenzhen Maternity & Child Healthcare Hospital, Shenzhen, China; ^gDivision of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Shenzhen Maternity & Child Healthcare Hospital, Shenzhen, China

ABSTRACT

Objective: It is evident that periodontitis is linked to various adverse pregnancy outcomes. This prospective study explored the potential link of maternal periodontal diseases to neonatal adverse outcomes.

Materials and Methods: A total of 193 generally healthy females in their third trimester (34–36 weeks) of pregnancy were enrolled. All subjects received full-mouth periodontal assessment, and the periodontal inflamed surface area (PISA) was calculated. Demographic data, lifestyles and anthropometric measurements of the neonates (e.g., body length and head circumference) were recorded. Herein, small-for-gestational age (SGA) referred to gender- and age-adjusted birth weight below the 10th percentile in line with the standard reference. Multivariable logistic regression analysis and restricted cubic spline were performed for examining the association of periodontal parameters with SGA.

Results: There were 8.3% (16/193) of neonates with SGA. Significantly positive correlation existed between the percentage of tooth sites with increased probing depth and an elevated risk of SGA (OR: 1.052; P < 0.05). Yet, the PISA was positively associated with the risk of SGA (OR: 1.002; P < 0.05) as well. No significant link occurred between maternal periodontal status and other neonatal outcome measures.

Conclusion: Within the limitations of this study, the findings suggest that there could be a link between maternal periodontal diseases and neonatal adverse outcomes like SGA. Further investigation is required to clarify the current findings and potential implications for promoting maternal oral/periodontal health and newborn health.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 17 May 2023 Accepted 18 May 2024

KEYWORDS Periodontal diseases; probing depth; pregnancy; neonatal adverse outcomes; small-forgestational age

Background

It is apparent that periodontal (gum) diseases significantly affect oral health and yet have notable associations with COVID-19 and common noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, inflammatory bowel disease, certain kinds of cancers, rheumatoid arthritis, Alzheimer's disease and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease [1–7]. The causal connection of periodontitis to its systemic comorbidities is substantiated by emerging scientific evidence on biologically convincible and clinically conceivable mechanisms through which periodontal inflammation could affect the systemic status [8, 9]. As such, periodontitis may aggravate common metabolic–inflammatory diseases and disorders, through upregulating the systemic level of inflammation [4, 8, 10–13].

It is noteworthy that periodontal diseases are frequently present in pregnant women, and the prevalence of maternal periodontitis could go up to 61% [14–16]. The joint consensus

statement from the American Academy of Periodontology (AAP) and the European Federation in Periodontology indicates that maternal periodontitis can disturb the healthy condition of fetal-maternal interface, and thereby increase the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes (APOs) [17]. Up to now, most of the studies in this field address the possible link of periodontal diseases with preterm birth/low birth weight (PTB/LBW), small-forgestational age (SGA) infants and preeclampsia, as well as the potential effects of periodontal interventions on these disorders [18–28]. Meanwhile, it has been highlighted that periodontal infections and the resultant inflammatory mediators significantly account for the underlying biological mechanisms involved in these disorders [14, 17, 20, 28].

Importantly, SGA neonates are susceptible to various adverse consequences with significant implications for neonatal mortality and morbidity in both developed and developing countries [29]. It has been previously shown that pregnant

CONTACT Prof. Lijian Jin 😒 lijin@hku.hk 🗈 Division of Periodontology & Implant Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, The University of Hong Kong, 34 Hospital Road, Hong Kong SAR, China; Prof. Jilong Yao 📚 yaojilong369@163.com 🗈 Division of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Shenzhen Maternity & Child Healthcare Hospital, 2004 Hongli Road, Shenzhen 518028, China

Bupplemental data for this article can be accessed online at https://doi.org/10.2340/aos.v83.40836

^{© 2024} The Author(s). Published by MJS Publishing on behalf of Acta Odontologica Scandinavica Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, with the condition of proper attribution to the original work.

females with moderate to severe periodontal diseases could exhibit an elevated risk for delivering SGA infants, whereas other possible infections and/or complications during pregnancy that affect fetal growth are not identified and controlled in the study [18]. Moreover, although the recent systematic review and meta-analysis reveal that periodontal diseases markedly increase the risk of PTB and LBW, such notable link of periodontal diseases to SGA could not be identified [30]. Additionally, maternal infection can be one of the possible causes of fetal growth restriction. Taken together, further investigations are needed to clarify these points. As such, this prospective study attempted to elucidate whether there could be a link between maternal periodontal diseases and neonatal adverse outcomes like SGA among generally healthy pregnant women.

Methods

Study population

This prospective study consisted of 193 pregnant females (18-35 years at 34-36 gestational weeks), and they were recruited from the Division of Obstetrics & Gynecology at the Shenzhen Maternity & Child Healthcare Hospital (SZMCH). The average follow-up time was 38.0 ± 11.9 days. The participants fulfilled the following criteria: i) singleton pregnancy; ii) full registration with the electronic medical system of SZMCH; iii) normal pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI); iv) absence of chronic inflammatory diseases; and v) natural pregnancy. The following subjects were excluded: current smokers and those with systemic diseases prior to and/or during pregnancy as well as those receiving periodontal treatments or antibiotics/immunosuppressive agents in the past 6 months (Supplementary Figure 1). Approval for the study was granted by the Ethics Committee from the SZMCH (SFYLS [2020] 013), and this study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki [31]. Prior to this clinical study, informed oral and written consent was given by all the subjects.

Periodontal assessment

The examination was performed by a calibrated investigator within 1 week of subject recruitment, and the intra-examiner reliability was assessed to be strong having a kappa value of 0.887 [32]. The numbers of tooth loss due to periodontitis and the teeth present excluding 3rd molars were counted. The parameters recorded at six sites per tooth included full-mouth plaque score (FMPS), bleeding on probing (BOP) and probing depth (PD). Moreover, the periodontal inflamed surface area (PISA) was calculated for each subject following an established approach [33].

Outcome measures of neonates

The primary outcome measure of neonates was small-for-gestational-age (SGA), and it was defined as gender- and age-adjusted birth weight below the 10th percentile following the standard references [34]. Similarly, infants were categorized as large-for-gestational-age (LGA) or appropriate for their gestational age (AGA) respectively, when the gender- and age-adjusted birth weight was above 90th percentile or between the 10th and 90th percentile [34]. The essential information (e.g., gender, gestational age and birth weight) was extracted from the electronic medical system of SZMCH, and well matched to their mothers. Head circumference (cm), birth length (cm) and Apgar score in 5 min were collected from the electronic system as well.

Statistical analysis

All subjects were categorized into SGA, AGA and LGA groups according to the outcome measures of their neonates. Continuous variables were shown as mean \pm SD or median (interquartile ranges) appropriately, while categorical ones were presented with counts and percentages. Mann-Whitney U test examined the differences in periodontal parameters (continuous variables) between SGA and AGA groups or LGA and AGA groups. Whereas Chi-square test was undertaken to assess the inter-group differences in socio-demographic characteristics.

To further explore the association of periodontal parameters with the outcome measures of neonates, logistic regression models were designed for facilitating the analysis. Various potential confounders in the multivariable models were adjusted (i.e., maternal age, educational attainment, household monthly income, pre-pregnancy BMI and pregnancy history). The interconnections of maternal age with periodontal parameters were tested as well. Given an a priori hypothesis that one percentage of sites with increased BOP% or $PD \ge 4 \text{ mm}$ might not evenly reflect the exacerbation of periodontal conditions, the restricted cubic spline (RCS) were employed to further examine the potential non-linear associations of periodontal parameters with the incidence of SGA or LGA on the basis of the logistic regression models. The 5th, 50th and 95th percentiles were kept as the knots to set the median of the total population as the reference. The link of maternal periodontal parameters with neonatal body length and head circumference was assessed as well, by using the models established. Statistical analyses and curve fitting were undertaken with the SAS 9.4 software and R (version 4.0), respectively. A two-side P-value < 0.05 was determined to be statistically significant.

Results

Demographics/periodontal conditions of the pregnant females and the health conditions of their neonates

The demographic characteristics and periodontal status of all 193 pregnant females are presented in Table 1. Overall, 51.8% of subjects were 30 years old or below. Majority of them obtained university or higher degrees (74.6%), and 47.7% earned over 20,000 CNY household income per month. A total of 50.8% had never been pregnant, and 29.1% had over one miscarriage experience. Regarding periodontal parameters, the median (IQR) of FMPS, BOP% and sites% with PD \geq 4 mm was 80.0 (65.0, 93.0), 20.8 (9.5, 34.7), and 4.0 (0.0, 11.0), respectively.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics, periodontal parameters and outcome measures of neonates in 193 pregnant females

Chamata statiat				
Characteristics	lotal (N = 193)	AGA (n = 155)	SGA (n = 16)	LGA ($n = 22$)
Sociodemographic characteristics				
Age, years ^a				
≤ 30	100 (51.8)	77 (49.7)	13 (81.3)	10 (45.5)
> 30	93 (48.2)	78 (50.3)	3 (18.8)	12 (54.6)
Education level ^b				
High school or lower	49 (25.4)	33 (21.3)	5 (31.3)	11 (50.0)
University level or higher	144 (74.6)	122 (78.7)	11 (68.8)	11 (50.0)
Household monthly income (CNY)				
< 9,000	42 (21.8)	36 (23.2)	1 (6.3)	5 (22.7)
9,000–19,999	59 (30.6)	45 (29.0)	8 (50.0)	6 (27.3)
≥ 20,000	92 (47.7)	74 (47.7)	7 (43.8)	11 (50.0)
Gestational history				
No	98 (50.8)	79 (51.0)	11 (68.8)	7 (31.8)
Yes	95 (49.2)	76 (49.0)	5 (31.3)	15 (68.2)
Periodontal parameters				
FMPS	80.0 (65.0, 93.0)	80.0 (65.0, 93.0)	79.5 (62.5, 98.0)	81.5 (68.0, 91.0)
BOP, %	20.8 (9.5, 34.7)	19.3 (9.5, 33.3)	23.4 (8.6, 49.7)	25.1 (12.3, 38.1)
$PD \ge 4 mm$, sites%	4.0 (0.0, 11.0)	3.0 (0.0, 10.0)	4.0 (0.0, 27.5)	5.0 (0.0, 19.0)
PISA	244.4 (99.8, 517.3)	239.4 (94.9, 451.3)	288.0 (92.1, 776.3)	269.6 (160.0, 590.5)
Outcome measures of neonates				
Delivery week	39.0 (39.0, 40.0)	39.0 (38.0, 40.0)	39.0 (39.0, 40.0)	39.0 (38.0, 40.0)
Gender				
Male	105 (54.4)	89 (57.4)	6 (37.5)	10 (45.5)
Female	88 (45.6)	66 (42.6)	10 (62.5)	12 (54.6)
Birth weight, g ^{a, b}	3289.5 ± 419.2	3244.6 ± 305.9	2706.25 ± 186.5	4029.5 ± 218.5
Birth length, cm ^{a, b}	50.0 (50.0, 50.0)	50.0 (50.0, 50.0)	49.0 (48.0, 49.0)	51.0 (51.0, 52.0)
Head circumference, cm ^{a, b}	34.0 (34.0, 35.0)	34.0 (34.0, 34.0)	33.0 (33.0, 34.0)	35.0 (35.0, 36.0)
APGAR 5 min score	10.0 (10.0, 10.0)	10.0 (10.0, 10.0)	10.0 (10.0, 10.0)	10.0 (10.0, 10.0)

^a*P*-value < 0.05 for t-test or Chi-square test as appropriate comparing SGA group with AGA group for variables. ^b*P*-value < 0.05 for t-test or Chi-square test as appropriate comparing LGA group with AGA group for variables.

Only 23 subjects exhibited PD \ge 6 mm. The median (IQR) of PISA was 244.4 (99.8, 517.3) mm². Most participants had over 28 teeth and none lost tooth due to periodontitis (data not shown). Overall, the median (IQR) of gestational age at delivery was 39.0 (39.0, 40.0) weeks. Four infants were born prematurely. The mean of birth weight was 3,289.5 ± 419.2 g. Of all the newborns, two were born below 2,500 g, and 10 were born over 4,000 g. Moreover, the median (IQR) of body length and head circumference was 50.0 (50.0, 50.0) cm and 34.0 (34.0, 35.0) cm, respectively. Moreover, the median (IQR) of Apgar score at 5 min was 10.0 (10.0, 10.0). No birth defects were reported.

Notably, 8.3% (16/193) of the newborns were identified as SGA, while 11.4% (22/193) exhibited LGA. Except for pregnant history, other characteristics of the mothers were comparable between the groups (SGA vs. AGA and LGA vs. AGA). Whereas, significant differences occurred in birth weight, birth length and head circumference in the groups with different neonatal weights (Table 1).

Periodontal status of pregnant females among the SGA, LGA and AGA groups

The median (IQR) of FMPS, BOP% and sites% with PD \ge 4 mm and PISA in SGA group was 79.5 (62.5, 98.0), 23.4 (8.6, 49.7), 4.0

(0.0, 27.5) and 288.0 (92.1, 1776.3) mm², respectively; and those in LGA were 81.5 (68.0, 91.0), 25.1 (12.3, 38.1), 5.0 (0.0, 19.0) and 269.6 (160.0, 590.5) mm², respectively. While the median of these parameters in AGA was 80.0 (65.0, 93.0), 19.3 (9.5, 33.3), 3.0 (0.0, 10.0) and 239.4 (94.9, 451.3) mm², respectively. Comparing with AGA group, both SGA and LGA groups showed no significant difference in the periodontal parameters (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 2).

Multivariable logistic regression modelling of maternal periodontal parameters to the neonates' outcome measures

The multivariable logistic regression models revealed that both maternal PD \ge 4 mm (sites%) and PISA were positively correlated with the presence of SGA (P < 0.05) (Table 2). Notably, the subjects with a greater number of tooth sites with PD \ge 4 mm and increased PISA exhibited risk ratios of 1.048 (95%Cl:1.008, 1.089; P < 0.05) and 1.001 (95%Cl:1.000, 1.003; P < 0.05), respectively for SGA after adjusting for maternal age (Model 1), with reference to the counterparts. Such positive correlations remained to be significant for PD \ge 4 mm, after further adjusting for potential confounders including educational attainment, household monthly income, pre-pregnancy BMI and pregnancy

Table 2. The association of periodontal parameters in pregnant females with their neonates' presence of SGA or LGA examined via multivariable logistic regression models.

Periodontal parameters	SGA vs. AGA (<i>n</i> = 171)						
	Model 1	P-value	Model 2	P-value	Model 3	P-value	P for interaction
BOP, %	1.025 (0.997, 1.054)	0.078	1.025 (0.995, 1.057)	0.104	1.028 (0.996, 1.061)	0.085	0.120
PD ≥ 4 mm, %	1.048 (1.008, 1.089)	0.016	1.050 (1.006, 1.096)	0.023	1.052 (1.008, 1.100)	0.020	0.206
PISA	1.001 (1.000, 1.003)	0.038	1.001 (1.000, 1.003)	0.053	1.002 (1.000, 1.003)	0.041	0.170
Periodontal parameters	LGA vs. AGA (<i>n</i> = 177)						
	Model 1	P-value	Model 2	P-value	Model 3	P-value	P for interaction
BOP, %	1.017 (0.989, 1.044)	0.225	1.012 (0.984, 1.041)	0.383	1.010 (0.981, 1.039)	0.495	0.988
PD ≥ 4 mm, %	1.031 (0.994, 1.066)	0.082	1.024 (0.986, 1.062)	0.209	1.025 (0.987, 1.066)	0.192	0.618
PISA	1.001 (1.000, 1.002)	0.114	1.001 (0.999, 1.002)	0.289	1.001 (0.999, 1.002)	0.309	0.490

Model 1 adjusted for maternal age.

Model 2 adjusted for maternal age, education level and household monthly income.

Model 3 adjusted for all variables in model 2 with additional added pre-pregnancy BMI and pregnancy history.

history (Models 2 & 3) as well as for PISA after adjusting all these variables (Model 3). Whereas, BOP% was not significantly correlated with SGA. No significant interaction existed between age and periodontal parameters accounting for SGA. There was no significant association of periodontal parameters with the prevalence of LGA, with reference to the AGA neonates.

The RCS was used to further curve the models and visualize the correlation of periodontal parameters to the delivery of SGA or LGA neonates (Figure 1). A marginally positive association existed between BOP% and risk of SGA (*P* value for nonlinearity = 0.055, Figure 1A). There was no detectable non-linear relationship between PD \geq 4 mm (sites%) and SGA (Figure 1B) or between PISA and SGA (Figure 1C). Likewise, there was no nonlinear correlation of these two parameters with the risk of delivering LGA newborns (Figures 1D–F). Furthermore, the associations of periodontal parameters with the quantitative measures of newborns (weight, length and head circumference) were also assessed using linear regression models. There was no significant correlation of BOP, PD \geq 4 mm and PISA with these neonatal outcome measures (Table 3).

Discussion

This prospective study evaluated the potential association of maternal periodontal status with neonatal adverse outcomes in a cohort of females from a metropolitan city in South China, and elaborated on the potential clinical implications. The overall findings suggest that existing maternal periodontal condition (e.g., presence of multiple sites with BOP, PD \ge 4 mm and increased PISA) may possibly account for fetal growth restriction, and hence increase the likelihood of delivering SGA infants.

Pregnant females are basically susceptible to detectable changes in oral cavity, owing to altered lifestyles and profiles of progesterone and estrogen levels during pregnancy. Subsequently, the marked increase in sex hormones crucially alters the condition of periodontal tissues with amplified inflammatory responses. Such resultant change could, to some extent, occur even with reasonable oral hygiene practice [35]. Notably, pregnancy accounts for an increased level of periodontal inflammation, along with accumulated burden of dysbiotic biofilms and the resultant dysregulated host responses; consequently, it could aggravate untreated existing periodontal diseases and the related systemic inflammatory comorbidities [36–39]. Conceivably, the risk of gingivitis and periodontitis can elevate during pregnancy period [40], particularly in susceptible individuals.

The link of oral/periodontal health with various APOs has been well documented [24, 41-43], since the first paper in this theme was published in 1996 [25]. Indeed, a recent cohort study demonstrates that the severity of periodontitis identified following the current new classification links to various APOs and adverse neonatal outcomes [21]. Currently, two underlying pathogenic mechanisms have been proposed for periodontal diseases-triggered APOs, namely direct translocation of dysbiotic oral microbiomes and/or their virulent components to the fetal-placenta unit or indirectly mouth-derived inflammatory mediators affecting the unit [9, 20]. In the latter pathway, periodontal niches-derived inflammatory mediators significantly enhance the expression and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and prostaglandins in the fetal-placenta unit via the general circulation, thereby resulting in uterine contraction and rupture of fetal membranes [20].

With regard to the birth of SGA infants, maternal health conditions are of great importance for fetal growth. Several studies have revealed that the delivery of SGA neonates may be attributed to maternal pre-pregnancy underweight and some socioeconomic factors like poor education level [44, 45]. The underlying mechanism of SGA may be related to placenta dysfunction and dyslipidemia [46, 47]. Furthermore, it has been shown that the risk of SGA infant delivery may increase with the upregulation of maternal inflammatory biomarkers in periodontitis patients, suggesting that both local and systemic inflammation would account for the delivery of SGA infants [18]. Notably, this study demonstrates that increased probing depth is significantly associated with the delivery of SGA infants, in agreement with the previous findings [18]. In addition, it has also been documented that periodontal status is significantly related to fetal growth parameters, suggesting the fact that existing periodontal inflammation contributes to fetal growth restriction [48, 49]. As such, in addition to the commonly used periodontal parameters, the emerging variable so called PISA has been measured for quantifying the burden of periodontal



Figure 1. Non-linear associations of maternal periodontal parameters in pregnant females with the risk of delivering SGA and LGA neonates. The y-axis is a log scale of odds ratio (OR) in which the red solid line delineates multivariate-adjusted OR and the dashed lines show the 95% CIs. A knot is located at the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles for BOP% (**A** and **D**), PD sites% (**B** and **E**) or PISA (**C** and **F**). All models were adjusted for maternal age, education level, household monthly income, pre-pregnancy BMI, and pregnancy history. BOP: bleeding on probing; PD: probing depth; BMI: body mass index; PISA: the periodontal inflamed surface area.

inflammation in the present study [32, 33]. Interestingly, the PISA shows a significantly positive correlation with the risk of SGA. Collectively, these findings reveal that maternal periodontal diseases could potentially increase the risk of neonatal adverse outcomes like SGA. Further longitudinal studies are required to clarify the current findings and elaborate the clinical implications.

Oral care is an essential component of comprehensive maternity healthcare. It is noteworthy that the AAP has published a practical guideline on periodontal healthcare of pregnant women, for promoting maternal health and the well-being of newborns [50]. Currently, the prevalence of maternal periodontal diseases remains to be relatively high in both developed and developing countries [51, 52]. Yet, the public is not fully aware of oral/periodontal health, and the mothers-to-be often could not get access to adequate oral care on a regular basis [52]. Our recent work on the considerable link between maternal periodontal diseases and systemic disorders further highlights the profound importance of integrating oral healthcare into professional maternal schemes for the optimal health and well-being of pregnant females and their newborn babies [32, 53]. Since periodontal diseases are a modifiable risk factor of neonatal adverse outcomes, it is strongly suggested that both oral healthcare professionals and obstetricians should raise their awareness of periodontal health in child-bearing women. Meanwhile, appropriate preventive measures and in-time

periodontal care should be undertaken, along with proactive health education and awareness on upholding healthy lifestyles in the public community. Herein, our recent work on the proposed pregnancy-integrated FDI scoring system could facilitate convenient screening of maternal periodontal diseases in daily practice [54] for promoting oral/periodontal health of mothersto-be and reducing the risk of adverse outcomes of their neonates.

There are certain limitations for this study. Firstly, the exact linking profiles of periodontal diseases and neonatal adverse outcomes may not be fully reflected in the current cohort of pregnant women with relatively young age, self-reported healthy condition and low proportion of subjects with $PD \ge 6$ mm. Secondly, a more representative and large-sample cohort would be preferred via random selection from various hospitals in the area for enriching subject diversities and addressing notable variables (e.g., socioeconomic status, educational attainment and access to oral/periodontal healthcare). Thirdly, as oral radiographic examination was not commonly preferred by the participants during pregnancy and past dental records were not available for most of them, yet there was relatively low prevalence of severe periodontitis in the cohort, the subjects were not categorized with the current AAP/EFP classification. Further follow-up investigations are required to elaborate the translational values in clinical practice. Meanwhile, the pathogenic pathways accounting for the underlying linkage of maternal periodontal diseases with neonatal adverse outcomes

Table 3.	The association of periodont	al parameters in pregnant	females with their neonates	outcome measures via line	ar regression models.
----------	------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------

Periodontal status	β	S.E.	t	<i>P</i> -value
Birth weight, g				
BOP, %	-0.061	1.766	-0.030	0.973
$PD \ge 4 \text{ mm}, \text{ sites}\%$	1.180	2.56	0.460	0.645
PISA	6.513 × 10 ⁻³	9.048 × 10 ⁻²	0.072	0.943
Birth length, cm				
BOP, %	-0.006	0.004	-1.400	0.163
$PD \ge 4 \text{ mm}, \text{ sites}\%$	-0.005	0.006	-0.740	0.463
PISA	-0.0003	0.0002	-1.343	0.181
Head circumference, cm				
BOP, %	0.0004	0.003	0.130	0.898
$PD \ge 4 \text{ mm}, \text{ sites}\%$	0.003	0.005	0.670	0.504
PISA	2.220 × 10 ⁻⁵	1.719 × 10 ⁻⁴	0.129	0.897

need to be explored and identified, favorably via the combined approaches of metagenomics, metabolomics and proteomics.

Conclusions

Within the notable limitations of the present investigation, the findings suggest that there could be a link between maternal periodontal diseases and the delivery of SGA infants. As such, proactive pre-pregnancy oral evaluation and professional healthcare need to be undertaken for children-bearing women to enhance their maternal wellbeing and newborn health.

Acknowledgements

The authors appreciate the great assistance from Richard Yang, Fengli Shi, Tongtong Wang, Yinwan Li and Xiaofeng Wen for retrieving medical datasets and recruiting subjects. The authors are also grateful to all participants for their valuable contributions to this project.

Authors' contributions

L.J.J. and J.L.Y. contributed to conceptualization and critical revision of the manuscript; L.J.J., P.W., H.L., X.X., and F.Z. contributed to study design; P.W., H.L., X.X., F.Z., R.Y., T.C., H.W., C.Y., W.Q. and X.Y. were involved in data acquisition; X.X. and D.Z. were involved in data analysis; P.W., H.L., X.X., D.Z., F.Z. and L.J.J. contributed to data interpretation; P.W., H.L., X.X., and D.Z. were involved in writing draft. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

Prof. L.J. Jin received funding to conduct this study via Shenzhen/ Hong Kong Innovation Circle Programme-Type D project from the Science, Technology & Innovation Commission of Shenzhen Municipal Government (Grant No. SGDX2019081623060946), and the work was also supported by the Research and Transformation Platform for Maternal and Child Oral Health Promotion & Disease Control in the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area.

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of SZMCH (SFYLS [2020] 013), and all procedures were conducted following the 2013 Declaration of Helsinki. Oral and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to the study.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

References

- Genco RJ, Sanz M. Clinical and public health implications of periodontal and systemic diseases: an overview. Periodontol 2000. 2020;83(1):7–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/prd.12344
- [2] Potempa J, Mydel P, Koziel J. The case for periodontitis in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2017;13(10):606– 20. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2017.132
- [3] Acharya C, Sahingur SE, Bajaj JS. Microbiota, cirrhosis, and the emerging oral-gut-liver axis. JCl Insight. 2017;2(19):e94416. https:// doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.94416
- [4] Schenkein HA, Papapanou PN, Genco R, Sanz M. Mechanisms underlying the association between periodontitis and atherosclerotic disease. Periodontol 2000. 2020;83(1):90–106. https://doi.org/10.1111/ prd.12304
- [5] Anand PS, Jadhav P, Kamath KP, Kumar SR, Vijayalaxmi S, Anil S. A case-control study on the association between periodontitis and coronavirus disease (COVID-19). J Periodontol. 2022;93(4):584–90. https://doi.org/10.1002/JPER.21-0272
- [6] Wang Y, Deng H, Pan Y, Jin L, Hu R, Lu Y, et al. Periodontal disease increases the host susceptibility to COVID-19 and its severity: a Mendelian randomization study. J Transl Med. 2021;19(1):528. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-021-03198-2
- [7] Marouf N, Cai W, Said KN, Daas H, Diab H, Chinta VR, et al. Association between periodontitis and severity of COVID-19 infection: a case-control study. J Clin Periodontol. 2021;48(4):483–91. https:// doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.13435
- [8] Hajishengallis G, Chavakis T. Local and systemic mechanisms linking periodontal disease and inflammatory comorbidities.

Nat Rev Immunol. 2021;21(7):426–40. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41577-020-00488-6

- Hajishengallis G. Periodontitis: from microbial immune subversion to systemic inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol. 2015;15(1):30–44. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nri3785
- [10] D'Aiuto F, Gkranias N, Bhowruth D, Khan T, Orlandi M, Suvan J, et al. Systemic effects of periodontitis treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 12 month, single-centre, investigator-masked, randomised trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2018;6(12):954–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30038-X
- [11] Bajaj JS, Matin P, White MB, Fagan A, Deeb JG, Acharya C, et al. Periodontal therapy favorably modulates the oral-gut-hepatic axis in cirrhosis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2018;315(5):G824– 37. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpqi.00230.2018
- [12] Genco RJ, Van Dyke TE. Prevention: reducing the risk of CVD in patients with periodontitis. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2010;7(9):479–80. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio.2010.120
- [13] Tonetti MS. Periodontitis and risk for atherosclerosis: an update on intervention trials. J Clin Periodontol. 2009;36(Suppl 10):15–19. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-051X.2009.01417.x
- [14] Raju K, Berens L. Periodontology and pregnancy: An overview of biomedical and epidemiological evidence. Periodontol 2000. 2021;87(1):132–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/prd.12394
- [15] Usin MM, Tabares SM, Parodi RJ, Sembaj A. Periodontal conditions during the pregnancy associated with periodontal pathogens. J Investig Clin Dent. 2013;4(1):54–9. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.2041-1626.2012.00137.x
- [16] Manau C, Echeverria A, Agueda A, Guerrero A, Echeverria JJ. Periodontal disease definition may determine the association between periodontitis and pregnancy outcomes. J Clin Periodontol. 2008;35(5):385–97. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1600-051X.2008.01222.x
- [17] Sanz M, Kornman K, working group 3 of the joint EFPAAP workshop. Periodontitis and adverse pregnancy outcomes: consensus report of the Joint EFP/AAP Workshop on Periodontitis and Systemic Diseases. J Periodontol. 2013;84(4 Suppl):S164–9. https://doi.org/10.1902/ jop.2013.1340016
- [18] Boggess KA, Beck JD, Murtha AP, Moss K, Offenbacher S. Maternal periodontal disease in early pregnancy and risk for a small-for-gestational-age infant. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2006;194(5):1316–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2005.11.059
- [19] Chambrone L, Guglielmetti MR, Pannuti CM, Chambrone LA. Evidence grade associating periodontitis to preterm birth and/ or low birth weight: I. A systematic review of prospective cohort studies. J Clin Periodontol. 2011;38(9):795–808. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1600-051X.2011.01755.x
- [20] Figuero E, Han YW, Furuichi Y. Periodontal diseases and adverse pregnancy outcomes: mechanisms. Periodontol 2000. 2020;83(1):175–88. https://doi.org/10.1111/prd.12295
- [21] Heo JS, Ahn KH, Park JS. Radiological screening of maternal periodontitis for predicting adverse pregnancy and neonatal outcomes. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):21266. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-020-78385-0
- [22] Ide M, Papapanou PN. Epidemiology of association between maternal periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes – systematic review. J Periodontol. 2013;84(4 Suppl):S181–94.
- [23] Iheozor-Ejiofor Z, Middleton P, Esposito M, Glenny AM. Treating periodontal disease for preventing adverse birth outcomes in pregnant women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;6:CD005297. https://doi. org/10.1002/14651858.CD005297.pub3
- [24] Marquez-Corona ML, Tellez-Giron-Valdez A, Pontigo-Loyola AP, Islas-Zarazua R, Robles-Bermeo NL, Gonzalez-Lopez BS, Medina-Solis CE. Preterm birth associated with periodontal and dental indicators: a pilot case-control study in a developing country. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2021;34(5):690–5.

- [25] Offenbacher S, Katz V, Fertik G, Collins J, Boyd D, Maynor G, et al. Periodontal infection as a possible risk factor for preterm low birth weight. J Periodontol. 1996;67(10 Suppl):1103–13. https://doi. org/10.1902/jop.1996.67.10.1103
- [26] Jung E, Romero R, Yeo L, Gomez-Lopez N, Chaemsaithong P, Jaovisidha A, et al. The etiology of preeclampsia. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2022;226(2S):S844–66.
- [27] Spivakovsky S. Periodontal treatment for the prevention of adverse birth outcomes. Evid Based Dent. 2018;19(1):12–13. https://doi. org/10.1038/sj.ebd.6401286
- [28] Komine-Aizawa S, Aizawa S, Hayakawa S. Periodontal diseases and adverse pregnancy outcomes. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2019;45(1):5–12.
- [29] Rijken MJ, De Livera AM, Lee SJ, Boel ME, Rungwilailaekhiri S, Wiladphaingern J, et al. Quantifying low birth weight, preterm birth and small-for-gestational-age effects of malaria in pregnancy: a population cohort study. PLoS One. 2014;9(7):e100247. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100247
- [30] Zhang Y, Feng W, Li J, Cui L, Chen ZJ. Periodontal disease and adverse neonatal outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Pediatr. 2022;10:799740. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fped.2022.799740
- [31] World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA. 2013;310(20):2191–4.
- [32] Zhao D, Cheng T, Hu D, Xu X, Zhang F, Yu R, et al. Maternal periodontal diseases affect the leukocyte profiles of umbilical cord blood: A cohort study. Oral Dis. 2024;30:2533–45. https://doi.org/10.1111/ odi.14683
- [33] Miki K, Kitamura M, Hatta K, Kamide K, Gondo Y, Yamashita M, et al. Periodontal inflamed surface area is associated with hs-CRP in septuagenarian Japanese adults in cross-sectional findings from the SONIC study. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):14436.
- [34] Huang XY, Liu HL, Lei M, Mai HF, Lian CH, Li YC. Intrauterine growth curves for body weight, body length, head circumference, chest circumference, and crown-rump length in 16 887 neonates with a gestational age of 27–42 weeks in Shenzhen, China. Zhongguo Dang Dai Er Ke Za Zhi. 2017;19(8):877–86. [Chinese]
- [35] Wu M, Chen SW, Su WL, Zhu HY, Ouyang SY, Cao YT, et al. Sex hormones enhance gingival inflammation without affecting IL-1beta and TNF-alpha in periodontally healthy women during pregnancy. Mediators Inflamm. 2016;2016:4897890.
- [36] Kumar PS. Sex and the subgingival microbiome: do female sex steroids affect periodontal bacteria? Periodontol 2000. 2013;61(1):103–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0757.2011.00398.x
- [37] Nuriel-Ohayon M, Neuman H, Koren O. Microbial changes during pregnancy, birth, and infancy. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:1031.
- [38] Fujiwara N, Tsuruda K, Iwamoto Y, Kato F, Odaki T, Yamane N, et al. Significant increase of oral bacteria in the early pregnancy period in Japanese women. J Investig Clin Dent. 2017;8(1):e12189. https://doi. org/10.1111/jicd.12189
- [39] Balan P, Chong YS, Umashankar S, Swarup S, Loke WM, Lopez V, et al. Keystone species in pregnancy gingivitis: a snapshot of oral microbiome during pregnancy and postpartum period. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:2360. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02360
- [40] Mascarenhas P, Gapski R, Al-Shammari K, Wang HL. Influence of sex hormones on the periodontium. J Clin Periodontol. 2003;30(8): 671–81. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-051X.2003.00055.x
- [41] Bobetsis YA, Graziani F, Gursoy M, Madianos PN. Periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Periodontol 2000. 2020;83(1):154–74.
- [42] Pockpa ZAD, Soueidan A, Koffi-Coulibaly NT, Limam A, Badran Z, Struillou X. Periodontal diseases and adverse pregnancy outcomes: review of two decades of clinical research. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2021;19(1):77–83.

- [43] Xu B, Han YW. Oral bacteria, oral health, and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Periodontol 2000. 2022;89(1):181–9.
- [44] Lefizelier E, Misbert E, Brooks M, Thuaut AL, Winer N, Ducarme G. Preterm birth and small-for-gestational age neonates among prepregnancy underweight women: a case-controlled study. J Clin Med. 2021;10(24) https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10245733
- [45] Ruiz M, Goldblatt P, Morrison J, Kukla L, Švancara J, Riitta-Järvelin M, et al. Mother's education and the risk of preterm and small for gestational age birth: a DRIVERS meta-analysis of 12 European cohorts. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2015;69(9):826–33. https://doi. org/10.1136/jech-2014-205387
- [46] Kim SY, Lee SM, Kwon GE, Kim BJ, Koo JN, Oh IH, et al. Maternal dyslipidemia and altered cholesterol metabolism in early pregnancy as a risk factor for small for gestational age neonates. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):21066.
- [47] Parra-Saavedra M, Crovetto F, Triunfo S, Savchev S, Peguero A, Nadal A, et al. Placental findings in late-onset SGA births without Doppler signs of placental insufficiency. Placenta. 2013;34(12):1136–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.placenta.2013.09.018
- [48] Lafaurie GI, Gómez LA, Montenegro DA, De Avila J, Tamayo MC, Lancheros MC, et al. Periodontal condition is associated with adverse perinatal outcomes and premature rupture of membranes in low-income pregnant women in Bogota, Colombia: a case-control study. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2020;33(1):16–23.

- [49] Takeuchi N, Ekuni D, Irie K, Furuta M, Tomofuji T, Morita M, Watanabe T. Relationship between periodontal inflammation and fetal growth in pregnant women: a cross-sectional study. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2013;287(5):951–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-012-2660-4
- [50] American Academy of Periodontology. American Academy of Periodontology statement regarding periodontal management of the pregnant patient. J Periodontol. 2004;75(3):495. https://doi. org/10.1902/jop.2004.75.3.495
- [51] Jiao J, Jing W, Si Y, Feng X, Tai B, Hu D, et al. The prevalence and severity of periodontal disease in Mainland China: data from the Fourth National Oral Health Survey (2015–2016). J Clin Periodontol. 2021;48(2):168–79.
- [52] Erchick DJ, Rai B, Agrawal NK, Khatry SK, Katz J, LeClerq SC, et al. Oral hygiene, prevalence of gingivitis, and associated risk factors among pregnant women in Sarlahi District, Nepal. BMC Oral Health. 2019;19(1):2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-018-0681-5
- [53] Zhang F, Zhao D, Xu X, Wen P, Li H, Yu R, et al. Periodontitis links to concurrent metabolic disorders and abnormal liver function in pregnant women. Oral Dis. 2024; 30(2):697-709. https://doi.org/10.1111/ odi.14364
- [54] Li HJ, Zhao D, Xu X, Yu R, Zhang F, Cheng T, et al. Diagnostic performance of the AAP/EFP classification and the CDC/AAP case definition among pregnant women and a practical screening tool for maternal periodontal diseases. J Periodontal Res. 2022;57(5):960–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/jre.13032