

Supplementary material to:

Pretreatment late-phase DCE-MRI predicts outcome in locally advanced cervix cancer

Kjersti V. Lund, Trude G. Simonsen, Gunnar B. Kristensen, Einar K. Rofstad

Supplementary Table 1

Patient characteristics ($N = 80$).

Characteristic	
Age, years	
Median	57
Range	22–88
Histology, number of patients	
Squamous cell carcinoma	68
Adenocarcinoma	10
Undifferentiated	2
Volume, cm ³	
Median	40.7
Range	2.1–319
FIGO stage, number of patients	
I	7
II	55
III	15
IV	3
Pelvic lymph node status, number of patients	
Positive	35
Negative	45

Supplementary Table 2

Multivariate Cox regression analysis of clinical and SITC parameters together with LETV.

	Disease-free survival				Overall survival			
	p-values				p-values			
Tumor volume	0.93	0.83	0.80	0.96	0.88	0.99	0.96	0.80
FIGO stage	0.053	0.047	0.050	0.051	0.082	0.066	0.082	0.073
Lymph node status	0.72	0.68	0.74	0.78	0.75	0.80	0.78	0.69
LETV (EF-method)	0.025	0.085	0.26	0.098	0.0022	0.0077	0.057	0.019
TVDS	0.89				0.73			
TFDS		0.23				0.14		
TVIS			0.73				0.87	
TFIS				0.26				0.27

p-values refer to multivariate regression analyses involving tumor volume, FIGO stage, lymph node status, LETV (EF-method), and either TVDS, TFDS, TVIS, or TFIS. SITC, signal intensity *versus* time curve; LETV (EF-method), low enhancing tumor volume (enhancing fraction method); TVDS, tumor volume with decreasing signal; TFDS, tumor fraction with decreasing signal; TVIS, tumor volume with increasing signal; TFIS, tumor fraction with increasing signal.

Supplementary Table 3

Multivariate Cox regression analysis of clinical and late-phase SITC parameters together with LETV.

	Disease-free survival				Overall survival			
	p-values				p-values			
Tumor volume	0.99	0.95	0.88	0.91	0.96	0.85	0.81	0.66
FIGO stage	0.053	0.046	0.048	0.050	0.083	0.064	0.080	0.070
Lymph node status	0.75	0.69	0.77	0.80	0.70	0.75	0.71	0.64
LETV (SI-method)	0.048	0.15	0.47	0.17	0.0036	0.014	0.12	0.033
TVDS	0.93				0.68			
TFDS		0.21				0.14		
TVIS			0.53				0.91	
TFIS				0.23				0.27

p-values refer to multivariate regression analyses involving tumor volume, FIGO stage, lymph node status, LETV (SI-method), and either TVDS, TFDS, TVIS, or TFIS. SITC, signal intensity *versus* time curve; LETV (SI-method), low enhancing tumor volume (signal intensity method); TVDS, tumor volume with decreasing signal; TFDS, tumor fraction with decreasing signal; TVIS, tumor volume with increasing signal; TFIS, tumor fraction with increasing signal.

Supplementary Table 4

Multivariate Cox regression analysis of clinical and late-phase SITC parameters together with LETF.

	Disease-free survival				Overall survival			
	p-values				p-values			
Tumor volume	0.65	0.58	1.00	0.44	0.58	0.20	0.51	0.12
FIGO stage	0.060	0.049	0.044	0.057	0.093	0.066	0.060	0.074
Lymph node status	0.69	0.64	0.78	0.79	0.81	0.83	0.66	0.66
LETF (SI-method)	0.16	0.45	0.51	0.55	0.0021	0.025	0.043	0.038
TVDS	0.98				0.34			
TFDS		0.16				0.14		
TVIS			0.12				0.091	
TFIS				0.19				0.20

p-values refer to multivariate regression analyses involving tumor volume, FIGO stage, lymph node status, LETF (SI-method), and either TVDS, TFDS, TVIS, or TFIS. SITC, signal intensity *versus* time curve; LETF (SI-method), low enhancing tumor fraction (signal intensity method); TVDS, tumor volume with decreasing signal; TFDS, tumor fraction with decreasing signal; TVIS, tumor volume with increasing signal; TFIS, tumor fraction with increasing signal.