

EDITORIAL

Biology-guided adaptive radiotherapy (BiGART) is progressing towards clinical reality

CAI GRAU¹, JENS OVERGAARD², MORTEN HØYER¹, KARI TANDERUP^{1,3},
JACOB CHRISTIAN LINDEGAARD¹ & LUDVIG PAUL MUREN^{1,3}

¹Department of Oncology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark, ²Department of Experimental Clinical Oncology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark and ³Department of Medical Physics, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

The present issue of *Acta Oncologica* contains a large number of publications that were presented at the 13th Acta Oncologica Symposium, held recently in Aarhus, Denmark (June 2015). The symposium was dedicated to aspects of biology-guided adaptive radiotherapy (BiGART), and attracted physicians, physicists, radiobiologists and other scientists with an active interest in this specific area.

Acta Oncologica has sponsored scientific symposia since the late 1980s. The aim of these meetings has been to focus on oncological issues of emerging interest, preferably with a multi-disciplinary and multi-professional approach. The current series of Acta Oncologica meetings with focus on clinic, biology and technique in radiotherapy (RT) have encompassed stereotactic body RT (SBRT) [1], image-guided RT (IGRT) [2], particle RT [3], and BiGART [4–55].

The goal of BiGART is treatment adaptation in time and space based on biological and anatomical features, maximizing the therapeutic ratio for each individual patient. Key topics for the BiGART2015 conference included:

- 1) Biology of tumors and normal tissue to guide patient selection, target volumes and dose prescription in RT;
- 2) Functional imaging of tumors and normal tissues with functional imaging techniques based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET), and the use of such images for dose painting and normal tissue avoidance in RT;

- 3) Treatment planning and delivery challenges in adaptation of RT and particle therapy based on changes in tumor and normal tissue biology, anatomy and/or function;
- 4) Clinical outcome of biology-guided and adaptive RT.

The current issue of *Acta Oncologica* contains most of the publications from the BiGART2015 conference, and several additional papers will be published in subsequent issues of the journal.

The radiobiological issues focused on the risk of late effects after RT. This included an increasing awareness of the risk of radiation-induced secondary cancer and the consequential attempt to understand and avoid this problem by, e.g. particle therapy [56–58]. Also the importance of individual variation in normal tissue radiosensitivity was highlighted with regard to different sensitivity and volume effects of different morbidity endpoints [59–62]. This has implications for the dose-response relationship applied in the model-based selection mechanism which are suggested as a basis for identifying the patients which may have benefit of proton irradiation [63–65].

Functional imaging has been explored over the last decades for characterization of tumors, for evaluation of treatment response, as well as for assessment of the function of normal tissues before and after RT. Functional imaging modalities linked to BiGART include dynamic computed tomography (CT) with contrast-enhancement, diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI,

and positron emission tomography (PET) with various tracers [9–11]. The perspectives of integrating functional imaging in RT are manifold: targeting of tumor sub-volumes, individual adaptation of treatment strategies according to the risk of recurrence, adaptations during RT according to response to treatment, adaptations according to normal tissue function, and finally having new tools which can be used to characterize, quantify and better understand tumor biology and normal tissue function. Quantitative assessment in imaging techniques have gained significant interest with the perspectives of arriving at more user-independent interpretation of images which can provide more robust measures across patients, scanners and centers in prospective multicenter studies.

A systematic model for validation of quantitative MRI in prostate cancer which included both calibration of sequences at multiple scanners as well as validation of imaging by comparison with pathology was presented by Uulke van der Heide and coworkers [66]. These efforts contribute to the movement of the field towards improved ability to identify tumor lesions and to consider more intelligent strategies for targeting of prostate cancer according to disease burden and tumor characteristics [67]. BiGART2015 was also an arena for interesting developments related to imaging in head and neck cancer. With studies showing that the recurrence rate is largest in gross tumor volume (GTV) [68,69] and hypoxic regions [70] there is a strong motivation to move ahead with further developments of imaging in head and neck cancer [71] as well as testing prospectively new strategies of dose administration which target more efficiently the regions with highest risk of recurrence [69,70].

Normal tissue imaging studies were also presented at the symposium, showing that functional imaging has the ability to assess heterogeneous distribution of function in parotid with dynamic MRI [72], in lung with single photon emission CT (SPECT) [60] as well as by biomechanical analysis of repeat MRI scans of different rectum expansions [73]. Such methods can be used to assess spatial dose-volume effects and has potential for improved treatment planning by taking heterogeneous organ function into account.

The introduction of online imaging solutions, primarily through the invention of on-board cone-beam CT (CBCT) has radically increased the use of image-guided RT, opening the door also to exploration and introduction of adaptive RT, as shown in a number of recent papers [74–76]. For several tumor sites CBCT imaging offers sufficient and reliable soft tissue contrast to allow adaptive RT protocols to be established in clinical practice [77].

To address some of the limitations of CBCT-based adaptive RT, there is increasing interest in

MRI-guided RT, in particular due to the improved soft tissue visualization, also with adaptive implications [78]. Several technical solutions are available, and were presented at the symposium. There is clearly a huge potential in combining the many advanced anatomical as well as functional MRI acquisition techniques with a frontline linear accelerator [79]. Another adaptive RT-related issue that has received considerable attention is the challenge of short-term target/tissue motion [75], ultimately addressed through real-time adaptation [80–82].

Several of the image-guided and adaptive challenges that have been addressed, and now also partially solved, for photon-based RT are re-appearing for proton- and particle-based RT. Besides being more complex to handle for protons/particles, these modalities also have new and specific challenges, usually materializing in so-called range uncertainties [76,83,84]. This is probably the largest current challenge before more widespread use of proton therapy to new indications [3]. Present and future challenges in this field are discussed by Seco and Spadea in (this issue) [85].

The combinations of advanced repetitive imaging with advanced external beam RT and brachytherapy (BT) are now providing clinical evidence for improved local control and reduced morbidity. BiGART2015 showed several examples on how dose painting of resistant sub-volumes and adaptation to a regressing tumor and moving organs during fractionated RT is now being successfully employed in clinical studies in cancer of the head and neck, lung, rectum and urinary bladder [70,71,74,86–88]. Also image-guided BT using preferably MRI is now in routine use in many centers for both prostate cancer and cervix cancer, showing a substantially improvement in the therapeutic ratio [89,90]. In addition, the use of four-dimensional (4D) imaging (time and space) provides improved knowledge on dose-response and dose-effect relationships that are constantly being fed back into an ever growing evidence-based improvement in the planning aims and dose-volume constraints.

Proton therapy was a major topic at BiGART2015, both due to its considerable current interest, and due to the high relevance of biological and adaptive aspects [85,91]. The number of centers providing proton therapy will increase rapidly during the next decennium. Proton therapy is more expensive than conventional RT [92], and with the present technology and resources proton therapy should only be offered to patients who are most likely to benefit from this treatment. Patient selection should be based on a high level of evidence, but so far there are no results of sufficiently powered randomized studies. Randomized studies are not

feasible in many situations, most often due to the lack of clinical equipoise. The model-based approach is attractive for decision making and selection of the appropriate individuals for proton RT [63,64]. However, measuring relevant endpoints for normal tissue complication probability (NTCP) modeling is not trivial. It includes identification of the proper endpoints, preferentially prioritized and reported by the patient. Patient-reported outcomes (PRO) are dependent on specific patient-related circumstances at the time of the assessment and we need experience on how to extrapolate and utilize PRO-data [61,93]. PRO must be related to the radiation exposure dose-volume data, but dose-volume parameters are sensitive to day-to-day motion of the normal tissue structures [27,94].

The final session of the BiGART2015 symposium was dedicated to the future of RT, with special emphasis on balancing the ever growing need for more and more complex RT with the costs [95,96]. This challenge is being addressed by ESTRO in the HERO project [97,98]. One solution is to reduce RT costs by automation [99].

In conclusion, the current issue of *Acta Oncologica* with papers from the BiGART2015 conference shows that there is now substantial clinical experience with individualized adaptive RT. For some of the major RT indications, adaptation based on anatomical changes is now a standard clinical approach. Further integration also of biological information into the RT process chain, still awaits clinical testing but there is little doubt that the significant advances especially within functional molecular imaging of tumors and normal tissues will also be integrated in future RT.

Declaration of interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

References

- [1] Grau C, Hoyer M, Lindegaard J, Overgaard J. The emerging evidence for stereotactic body radiotherapy. *Acta Oncol* 2006;45:771–4.
- [2] Grau C, Muren LP, Hoyer M, Lindegaard J, Overgaard J. Image-guided adaptive radiotherapy – integration of biology and technology to improve clinical outcome. *Acta Oncol* 2008;47:1182–5.
- [3] Muren LP, Rossi C, Hug E, Lee A, Glimelius B. Establishing and expanding the indications for proton and particle therapy. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:459–62.
- [4] Grau C, Olsen DR, Overgaard J, Hoyer M, Lindegaard JC, Muren LP. Biology-guided adaptive radiation therapy – presence or future? *Acta Oncol* 2010;49:884–7.
- [5] Grau C, Hoyer M, Alber M, Overgaard J, Lindegaard JC, Muren LP. Biology-guided adaptive radiotherapy (BiGART) – more than a vision? *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1243–7.
- [6] Krishna MC, Matsumoto S, Saito K, Matsuo M, Mitchell JB, Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH. Magnetic resonance imaging of tumor oxygenation and metabolic profile. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1248–56.
- [7] Hoeben BA, Bussink J, Troost EG, Oyen WJ, Kaanders JH. Molecular PET imaging for biology-guided adaptive radiotherapy of head and neck cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1257–71.
- [8] Combs SE, Debus J. Treatment with heavy charged particles: Systematic review of clinical data and current clinical (comparative) trials. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1272–86.
- [9] Nielsen T, Nielsen NC, Holm TH, Ostergaard L, Horsman MR, Busk M. Ultra-high field 1H magnetic resonance imaging approaches for acute hypoxia. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1287–92.
- [10] Rodal J, Rusten E, Sovik A, Skogmo HK, Malinen E. Functional imaging to monitor vascular and metabolic response in canine head and neck tumors during fractionated radiotherapy. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1293–9.
- [11] Busk M, Jakobsen S, Horsman MR, Mortensen LS, Iversen AB, Overgaard J, et al. PET imaging of tumor hypoxia using 18F-labeled pimonidazole. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1300–7.
- [12] Monnich D, Troost EG, Kaanders JH, Oyen WJ, Alber M, Zips D, et al. Correlation between tumor oxygenation and 18F-fluoromisonidazole PET data simulated based on microvessel images. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1308–13.
- [13] Ravn S, Holmberg M, Sorensen P, Frokjaer JB, Carl J. Differences in supratentorial white matter diffusion after radiotherapy – new biomarker of normal brain tissue damage? *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1314–9.
- [14] Iversen AB, Busk M, Horsman MR. Induction of hypoxia by vascular disrupting agents and the significance for their combination with radiation therapy. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1320–6.
- [15] Winther M, Alsner J, Tramm T, Nordmark M. Hypoxia-regulated gene expression and prognosis in loco-regional gastroesophageal cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1327–35.
- [16] Isebaert S, Haustermans K, Van den Bergh L, Joniau S, Dirix P, Oyen R, et al. Identification and characterization of nodal metastases in prostate cancer patients at high risk for lymph node involvement. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1336–44.
- [17] Hegazy N, Potter R, Kirisits C, Berger D, Federico M, Sturdza A, et al. High-risk clinical target volume delineation in CT-guided cervical cancer brachytherapy: Impact of information from FIGO stage with or without systematic inclusion of 3D documentation of clinical gynecological examination. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1345–52.
- [18] Leibfarth S, Monnich D, Welz S, Siegel C, Schwenzer N, Schmidt H, et al. A strategy for multimodal deformable image registration to integrate PET/MR into radiotherapy treatment planning. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1353–9.
- [19] Kallehauge J, Nielsen T, Haack S, Peters DA, Mohamed S, Fokdal L, et al. Voxelwise comparison of perfusion parameters estimated using dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE) computed tomography and DCE-magnetic resonance imaging in locally advanced cervical cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1360–8.
- [20] Johansson A, Garpebring A, Karlsson M, Askklund T, Nyholm T. Improved quality of computed tomography substitute derived from magnetic resonance (MR) data by incorporation of spatial information – potential application for MR-only radiotherapy and attenuation correction in positron emission tomography. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1369–73.
- [21] Korsager AS, Stephansen UL, Carl J, Ostergaard LR. The use of an active appearance model for automated prostate segmentation in magnetic resonance. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1374–7.

- [22] Rusten E, Rodal J, Bruland O, Malinen E. Biologic targets identified from dynamic 18FDG-PET and implications for image-guided therapy. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1378–83.
- [23] Schmid MP, Fidarova E, Potter R, Petric P, Bauer V, Woehs V, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging for assessment of parametrial tumour spread and regression patterns in adaptive cervix cancer radiotherapy. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1384–90.
- [24] Carvalho S, Leijenaar RT, Velazquez ER, Oberije C, Parmar C, van Elmpt W, et al. Prognostic value of metabolic metrics extracted from baseline positron emission tomography images in non-small cell lung cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1398–404.
- [25] Leijenaar RT, Carvalho S, Velazquez ER, van Elmpt WJ, Parmar C, Hoekstra OS, et al. Stability of FDG-PET radiomics features: An integrated analysis of test-retest and inter-observer variability. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1391–7.
- [26] De Ruysscher D, Sharifi H, Defraene G, Kerns SL, Christiaens M, De Ruyck K, et al. Quantification of radiation-induced lung damage with CT scans: The possible benefit for radiogenomics. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1405–10.
- [27] Thor M, Bentzen L, Elstrøm UV, Petersen JB, Muren LP. Dose/volume-based evaluation of the accuracy of deformable image registration for the rectum and bladder. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1411–6.
- [28] Mattiucci GC, Boldrini L, Chiloire G, D'Agostino GR, Chiesa S, De Rose F, et al. Automatic delineation for replanning in nasopharynx radiotherapy: What is the agreement among experts to be considered as benchmark? *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1417–22.
- [29] Thornqvist S, Hysing LB, Zolnay AG, Sohn M, Hoogeman MS, Muren LP, et al. Adaptive radiotherapy in locally advanced prostate cancer using a statistical deformable motion model. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1423–9.
- [30] Ahmad R, Bondar L, Voet P, Mens JW, Quint S, Dhawtal G, et al. A margin-of-the-day online adaptive intensity-modulated radiotherapy strategy for cervical cancer provides superior treatment accuracy compared to clinically recommended margins: A dosimetric evaluation. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1430–6.
- [31] Worm ES, Hoyer M, Fledelius W, Hansen AT, Poulsen PR. Variations in magnitude and directionality of respiratory target motion throughout full treatment courses of stereotactic body radiotherapy for tumors in the liver. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1437–44.
- [32] Skyt PS, Petersen JB, Yates ES, Poulsen PR, Ravkilde TL, Balling P, et al. Dosimetric verification of complex radiotherapy with a 3D optically based dosimetry system: Dose painting and target tracking. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1445–50.
- [33] Tuomikoski L, Korhonen J, Collan J, Keyrilainen J, Visapaa H, Sairanen J, et al. Implementation of adaptive radiation therapy for urinary bladder carcinoma: Imaging, planning and image guidance. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1451–7.
- [34] Damkjaer SM, Aznar MC, Pedersen AN, Vogelius IR, Bangsgaard JP, Josipovic M. Reduced lung dose and improved inspiration level reproducibility in visually guided DIBH compared to audio coached EIG radiotherapy for breast cancer patients. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1458–63.
- [35] Furtado H, Steiner E, Stock M, Georg D, Birkfellner W. Real-time 2D/3D registration using kV-MV image pairs for tumor motion tracking in image guided radiotherapy. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1464–71.
- [36] Nielsen MS, Nystrom MW, Carl J. Potential position errors using fiducial markers for gated image guided radiotherapy. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1472–6.
- [37] Thing RS, Bernchou U, Mainegra-Hing E, Brink C. Patient-specific scatter correction in clinical cone beam computed tomography imaging made possible by the combination of Monte Carlo simulations and a ray tracing algorithm. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1477–83.
- [38] Persoon LC, Egelmeier AG, Ollers MC, Nijsten SM, Troost EG, Verhaegen F. First clinical results of adaptive radiotherapy based on 3D portal dosimetry for lung cancer patients with atelectasis treated with volumetric-modulated arc therapy (VMAT). *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1484–9.
- [39] Schmidt ML, Hoffmann L, Kandi M, Moller DS, Poulsen PR. Dosimetric impact of respiratory motion, interfraction baseline shifts, and anatomical changes in radiotherapy of non-small cell lung cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1490–6.
- [40] Ravkilde T, Keall PJ, Grau C, Hoyer M, Poulsen PR. Time-resolved dose reconstruction by motion encoding of volumetric modulated arc therapy fields delivered with and without dynamic multi-leaf collimator tracking. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1497–503.
- [41] Combs SE, Kessel K, Habermehl D, Haberer T, Jakel O, Debus J. Proton and carbon ion radiotherapy for primary brain tumors and tumors of the skull base. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1504–9.
- [42] Lindegaard JC, Fokdal LU, Nielsen SK, Juul-Christensen J, Tanderup K. MRI-guided adaptive radiotherapy in locally advanced cervical cancer from a Nordic perspective. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1510–9.
- [43] Ellegaard MB, Knap MM, Hoffmann L. Inter-tester reproducibility of tumour change in small cell lung cancer patients undergoing chemoradiotherapy. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1520–5.
- [44] Thorsen LB, Thomsen MS, Overgaard M, Overgaard J, Offersen BV, Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group Radiotherapy C. Quality assurance of conventional non-CT-based internal mammary lymph node irradiation in a prospective Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group trial: The DBCG-IMN study. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1526–34.
- [45] Mortensen HR, Overgaard J, Jensen K, Specht L, Overgaard M, Johansen J, et al. Factors associated with acute and late dysphagia in the DAHANCA 6 & 7 randomized trial with accelerated radiotherapy for head and neck cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1535–42.
- [46] Lonbro S, Dalgas U, Primdahl H, Johansen J, Nielsen JL, Overgaard J, et al. Lean body mass and muscle function in head and neck cancer patients and healthy individuals – results from the DAHANCA 25 study. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1543–51.
- [47] Jeppesen SS, Schytte T, Jensen HR, Brink C, Hansen O. Stereotactic body radiation therapy versus conventional radiation therapy in patients with early stage non-small cell lung cancer: An updated retrospective study on local failure and survival rates. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1552–8.
- [48] Jorgensen AY, Maraldo MV, Brodin NP, Aznar MC, Vogelius IR, Rosenschold PM, et al. The effect on esophagus after different radiotherapy techniques for early stage Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1559–65.
- [49] Kristian A, Revheim ME, Qu H, Maelandsmo GM, Engebraten O, Seierstad T, et al. Dynamic (18)F-FDG-PET for monitoring treatment effect following anti-angiogenic therapy in triple-negative breast cancer xenografts. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1566–72.
- [50] Warren S, Partridge M, Fokas E, Eccles CL, Brunner TB. Comparing dose-volume histogram and radiobiological endpoints for ranking intensity-modulated arc therapy and 3D-radiotherapy treatment plans for locally-advanced pancreatic cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1573–8.
- [51] Behrens CF, Andreasen TB, Lindberg H, Buhl SK, Vestergaard A, Elstrom UV, et al. Quantitative image quality eval-

- uation of pelvic computed tomography-based imaging systems: A novel concept in radiotherapy. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1579–82.
- [52] Josipovic M, Persson GF, Hakansson K, Damkjaer SM, Bangsgaard JP, Westman G, et al. Deep inspiration breath hold radiotherapy for locally advanced lung cancer: Comparison of different treatment techniques on target coverage, lung dose and treatment delivery time. *Acta Oncol* 2013;52:1582–6.
- [53] Metwally MA, Frederiksen KD, Overgaard J. Compliance and toxicity of the hypoxic radiosensitizer nimorazole in the treatment of patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). *Acta Oncol* 2014;53:654–61.
- [54] Tramm T, Kyndi M, Myhre S, Nord S, Alsner J, Sorensen FB, et al. Relationship between the prognostic and predictive value of the intrinsic subtypes and a validated gene profile predictive of loco-regional control and benefit from post-mastectomy radiotherapy in patients with high-risk breast cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2014;53:1337–46.
- [55] Bentzen J, Toustrup K, Eriksen JG, Primdahl H, Andersen LJ, Overgaard J. Locally advanced head and neck cancer treated with accelerated radiotherapy, the hypoxic modifier nimorazole and weekly cisplatin. Results from the DAHANCA 18 phase II study. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1001–7.
- [56] Stokkevang CH, Engeseth GM, Hysing LB, Ytre-Hauge KS, Ekanger C, Muren LP. Risk of radiation-induced secondary rectal and bladder cancer following radiotherapy of prostate cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1316–24.
- [57] Grantzau T, Mellemkjaer L, Overgaard J. Second primary cancers after adjuvant radiotherapy in early breast cancer patients: A national population based study under the Danish Breast Cancer Cooperative Group (DBCG). *Radiother Oncol* 2013;106:42–9.
- [58] Grantzau T, Thomsen MS, Vaeth M, Overgaard J. Risk of second primary lung cancer in women after radiotherapy for breast cancer. *Radiother Oncol* 2014;111:366–73.
- [59] Lyngholm CD, Overgaard J, Christiansen PM, Alsner J. Validation of a gene expression profile predictive of the risk of radiation-induced fibrosis in women treated with breast conserving therapy. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1658–61.
- [60] Farr KP, Møller DS, Khalil AA, Kramer S, Morsing A, Grau C. Loss of lung function after chemo-radiotherapy for NSCLC measured by perfusion SPECT/CT: Correlation with radiation dose and clinical morbidity. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1349–53.
- [61] Thor M, Olsson CE, Oh JH, Petersen SE, Alsadius D, Bentzen L, et al. Relationships between dose to the gastrointestinal tract and patient-reported symptom domains after radiotherapy for localized prostate cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1325–33.
- [62] Jakobi A, Stützer K, Bandurska-Luque A, Löck S, Haase R, Wack L-J, et al. NTCP reduction for advanced head and neck cancer patients using proton therapy for complete or sequential boost treatment versus photon therapy. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1699–1705.
- [63] Langendijk JA, Lambin P, De Ruyscher D, Widder J, Bos M, Verheij M. Selection of patients for radiotherapy with protons aiming at reduction of side effects: The model-based approach. *Radiother Oncol* 2013;107:267–73.
- [64] Grau C. The model-based approach to clinical studies in particle radiotherapy – a new concept in evidence based radiation oncology? *Radiother Oncol* 2013;107:265–6.
- [65] Sørensen BS, Horsman MR, Alsner J, Overgaard J, Durante M, Scholz M, et al. Relative biological effectiveness of carbon ions for tumor control, acute skin damage and late radiation-induced fibrosis in a mouse model. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1623–30.
- [66] Borren A, Groenendaal G, Moman MR, Boeken Kruger AE, van Diest PJ, van Vulpen M, et al. Accurate prostate tumour detection with multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging: Dependence on histological properties. *Acta Oncol* 2014;53:88–95.
- [67] Hoskin P. Hypoxia dose painting in prostate and cervix cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1259–62.
- [68] Sand HMB, Brunø AH, Andersen LJ, Carl J. Correlation between pretreatment FDG-PET biological target volume and location of T-site failure after definitive radiation therapy for head and neck cancers. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1676–80.
- [69] Rasmussen GR, Vogelius IR, Rasmussen JH, Schumaker L, Ioffe O, Cullen K, et al. Immunohistochemical biomarkers and FDG uptake on PET/CT in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1415–21.
- [70] Mönnich D, Welz S, Thorwarth D, Pfannenberger C, Mauz P-S, la Fougère C, et al. Robustness of quantitative hypoxia PET image analysis for predicting local tumor control. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1363–8.
- [71] Zegers CML, van Elmpt W, Hoebbers FJP, Troost EGC, Öllers MC, Mottaghy FM, et al. Imaging of tumour hypoxia and metabolism in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1377–83.
- [72] Clark HD, Moiseenko VV, Rackley TP, Thomas SD, Wu JS, Reinsberg SA. Development of a method for functional aspect identification in parotid using dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and concurrent stimulation. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1681–5.
- [73] Casares-Magaz O, Thor M, Liao D, Frokjar JB, Kramer P, Krogh K, et al. An image-based method to quantify biomechanical properties of the rectum in radiotherapy of prostate cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1334–41.
- [74] Tvillum M, Khalil AA, Møller DS, Hoffmann L, Knap MM. Clinical outcome of image-guided adaptive radiotherapy in the treatment of lung cancer patients. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1429–36.
- [75] Grønberg C, Vestergaard A, Høyer M, Söhn M, Pedersen EM, Petersen JB, et al. Intra-fractional bladder motion and margins in adaptive radiotherapy for urinary bladder cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1461–6.
- [76] Kurz C, Dedes G, Resch A, Reiner M, Ganswindt U, Nijhuis R, et al. Comparing cone-beam CT intensity correction methods for dose recalculation in adaptive intensity modulated photon and proton therapy for head and neck cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1651–7.
- [77] Vestergaard A, Muren LP, Lindberg H, Jakobsen KL, Petersen JB, Elstrom UV, et al. Normal tissue sparing in a phase II trial on daily adaptive plan selection in radiotherapy for urinary bladder cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2014;53:997–1004.
- [78] Noel CE, Parikh PJ, Spencer CR, Green OL, Hu Y, Mutic S, et al. Comparison of onboard low-field magnetic resonance imaging versus onboard computed tomography for anatomy visualization in radiotherapy. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1651–7.
- [79] Lagendijk JJW, Raaymakers BW, Raaijmakers AJE, Overweg J, Brown KJ, Kerkhof EM, et al. MRI/linac integration. *Radiother Oncol* 2008;86:25–9.
- [80] Fledelius W, Keall PJ, Cho BC, Yang XH, Morf D, Scheib S, et al. Tracking latency in image-based dynamic MLC tracking with direct image access. *Acta Oncol* 2011;50:952–9.
- [81] Ravkilde T, Keall PJ, Hojbjerre K, Fledelius W, Worm E, Poulsen PR. Geometric accuracy of dynamic MLC tracking with an implantable wired electromagnetic transponder. *Acta Oncol* 2011;50:944–51.
- [82] Poulsen PR, Worm ES, Hansen R, Larsen LP, Grau C, Høyer M. Respiratory gating based on internal electromag-

- netic motion monitoring during stereotactic liver radiation therapy: First results. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1444–52.
- [83] Casares-Magaz O, Toftegaard J, Muren LP, Kallehauge JF, Bassler N, Poulsen PR, et al. A method for selection of beam angles robust to intra-fractional motion in proton therapy of lung cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2014;53:1058–63.
- [84] Andersen AG, Casares-Magaz O, Muren LP, Toftegaard J, Bentzen L, Thörnqvist S, et al. A method for evaluation of proton plan robustness towards inter-fractional motion applied to pelvic lymph node irradiation. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1643–50.
- [85] Seco J, Spadea MF. Imaging in particle therapy: State of the art and future perspective. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1254–8.
- [86] Zschaek S, Haase R, Abolmaali N, Perrin R, Stützer K, Appold S, et al. Spatial distribution of FMISO in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas during radio-chemotherapy and its correlation to pattern of failure. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1354–62.
- [87] Rasmussen JH, Vogelius IR, Aznar MC, Fischer BM, Christensen CB, Friberg J, et al. Spatio-temporal stability of pre-treatment 18F-Fludeoxyglucose uptake in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas sufficient for dose painting. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1415–21.
- [88] Berkovic P, Paelinck L, Lievens Y, Goddeeris B, Derie C, Gulyban A, et al. Adaptive radiotherapy for locally-advanced non-small cell lung cancer, can we predict when and for whom? *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1437–43.
- [89] Lakosi F, de Cuypere M, Viet PN, Jansen N, Warlimont B, Gulyban A, et al. Clinical efficacy and toxicity of radio-chemotherapy and MRI-guided brachytherapy for locally advanced cervical cancer patients: A mono-institutional experience. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1558–66.
- [90] Majercakova K, Pötter R, Banerjee S, Kirisits C, Sturdza AE, Georg P, et al. Evaluation of planning aims and dose prescription in image-guided adaptive brachytherapy and radiochemotherapy for cervical cancer: Vienna clinical experience in 225 patients. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1551–7.
- [91] Malinen E, Søvik Å. Dose or ‘LET’ painting – what is the optimal particle therapy of hypoxic tumors? *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1614–22.
- [92] Lievens Y, Pijls-Johannesma M. Health economic controversy and cost-effectiveness of proton therapy. *Semin Radiat Oncol* 2013;23:1275–81.
- [93] Johansen C, Dalton SO. Survivorship – searching for new directions. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:569–73.
- [94] Thor M, Bentzen L, Hysing LB, Ekanger C, Helle SI, Karlsdottir A, et al. Prediction of rectum and bladder morbidity following radiotherapy of prostate cancer based on motion-inclusive dose distributions. *Radiother Oncol* 2013;107:147–52.
- [95] Lievens Y, Borras JM, Grau C. Cost calculation: A necessary step towards widespread adoption of advanced radiotherapy technology. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1275–81.
- [96] Borras JM, Lievens Y, Grau C. The need for radiotherapy in Europe in 2020: Not only data but also a cancer plan. *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1268–74.
- [97] Grau C, Defourny N, Malicki J, Dunscombe P, Borras JM, Coffey M, et al. Radiotherapy equipment and departments in the European countries: Final results from the ESTRO-HERO survey. *Radiother Oncol* 2014;112:155–64.
- [98] Lievens Y, Defourny N, Coffey M, Borras JM, Dunscombe P, Slotman B, et al. Radiotherapy staffing in the European countries: Final results from the ESTRO-HERO survey. *Radiother Oncol* 2014;112:178–86.
- [99] Massaccesi M, Corti M, Azario L, Balducci M, Ferro M, Mantini G, et al. Can automation in radiotherapy reduce costs? *Acta Oncol* 2015;54:1282–8.