

Production of Clustered DNA Damage by ^{125}I Decay

Linda S. Yasui, Alun Hughes and Eugene R. DeSombre

From the Northern Illinois University, Department of Biological Sciences DeKalb, IL, USA (L.S. Yasui), and the Ben May Institute for Cancer Research, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA (A. Hughes, E.R. Desombre)

Correspondence to: Dr Linda Yasui, Northern Illinois University, Department of Biological Sciences, DeKalb, IL 60115, USA

Received 22 September 1999

Accepted 4 April 2000

Acta Oncologica Vol. 39, No. 6, pp. 739–740, 2000

To the Editor:

We previously found a large difference in DNA double strand break (dsb) induction when randomly incorporating ^{125}I or non-random association of ^{125}I with DNA at so-called 'estrogen response elements' (ERE) in a Chinese hamster ovary cell line transfected with human estrogen receptor (1). A relative biological effectiveness (RBE) value for DNA dsb induction (RBE_{dsb}) is calculated here for accumulated ^{125}I -iododeoxyuridine (^{125}I UdR) decays or ^{125}I -estrogen decays relative to γ radiation from a ^{137}Cs source. RBE_{dsb} values were 2.9 and 24 for ^{125}I UdR and ^{125}I -estrogen, respectively (1). RBE values for cell survival (RBE_{s}) were 3.1 and 3.9 for ^{125}I UdR and ^{125}I -estrogen, respectively (see Table 1).

Several lines of evidence support the notion of clustered DNA damage (or locally multiply damaged DNA or LMDs (2) produced by ^{125}I decay (1, 3). First, the production of clustered DNA damage or LMDs is indicated by a drop in the first fraction of elution profiles (1, 3), suggesting that ^{125}I decay produces complex lesions composed of small fragments of DNA which elute through the filter in the first fraction. Secondly, other workers have

observed that RBE_{dsb} decreases relative to RBE_{s} with increasing LET of radiation used (4). The reduction in RBE_{dsb} suggests that dsb assays underestimate DNA dsb induction by not measuring all breaks in complex lesions such as LMDs or clustered lesions. For ^{125}I UdR, RBE_{dsb} is marginally less than RBE_{s} . Repair of complex lesions may complicate this relationship. Thirdly, numerous physical models of Auger electron emission from ^{125}I decay predict energy deposition patterns consistent with production of clustered DNA damage.

The pattern of clustered DNA damage produced by ^{125}I UdR decay likely consists of short fragments of DNA on the order of 10–100s of base pairs. Martin & Haseltine (5) showed the highest frequencies of strand breaks in an oligonucleotide at about 10 base pairs upstream and downstream of the DNA-incorporated ^{125}I UdR and Panyutin & Neumann (6) found that approximately 1 DNA dsb is formed opposite to the site of ^{125}I incorporation and within 10 base pairs of the site of incorporation when they used a ^{125}I -labeled triplex forming oligonucleotide, which specifically binds to a particular nucleotide sequence in the major groove of double stranded DNA in vitro. Brenner & Ward (7) calculated that 2–6 ionizations are required within 1–4 nm volume of DNA for the formation of a DNA dsb. ^{125}I decay produces a cascade of 22–25 low-energy electrons per decay that could very well produce the requisite 2–6 ionizations within a 1–4 nm volume of DNA. Folding of the mammalian cell DNA into more compact chromatin structures, such as nucleosomes or solenoids, may place adjacent segments of DNA in close proximity to the incorporated radioactivity, resulting in strand breakage further than 10 bp from the site of ^{125}I UdR incorporation (about 100s of base pairs away since solenoids consist of about 1 kbp DNA).

In contrast to the data discussed above using ^{125}I UdR decay accumulation in cellular DNA, evidence suggests that the clustered DNA damage produced by accumulation of ^{125}I -estrogen decays in cellular DNA may comprise both the small fragments (10–100s bp) described above and large domain-sized fragments. In these studies, RBE_{dsb} from ^{125}I -estrogen decay accumulation studies are much higher than RBE_{s} (RBE_{dsb} was 24 and RBE_{s} was approximately 4) indicating that not only clustered DNA damage is produced but also a greater amount of additional DNA damage is induced by ^{125}I -estrogen than by ^{125}I UdR. The physical decay characteristics producing a high density of ionizations in DNA

Table 1

Comparison of RBE values

	^{125}I UdR	^{125}I -estrogen
$\text{RBE}_{\text{s}}^{1,3}$	3.1	3.9
$\text{RBE}_{\text{dsb}}^{2,4,5}$	2.9	24.0

^{125}I decays were converted to cGy using $0.742 \text{ cGy}/^{125}\text{I}$ decay (11)

¹ RBE for cell survival = RBE_{s} = (dose of γ irradiation for D_{50}) ("dose" of ^{125}I required for D_{50})

² RBE for DNA dsb induction = RBE_{dsb}

$\text{RBE}_{\text{dsb}} = \frac{(\text{dose of } \gamma \text{ irradiation required to reduce the mean value to } 50\%)}{(\text{'dose' of } ^{125}\text{I} \text{ required to reduce the mean value to } 50\%)}$

³ All survival data are own unpublished data. The D_{50} for γ irradiated CHOER cells equaled 232 cGy.

⁴ The number of ^{125}I decays required to reduce the mean value to 50% for DNA dsb induction from accumulated ^{125}I UdR and ^{125}I IME2 decays are from (1).

⁵ The dose of γ radiation required to reduce the mean value to 50% for DNA dsb induction equaled 64 Gy (12).

per ^{125}I decay does not change when using $^{125}\text{IUdR}$ or ^{125}I -estrogen but the target DNA does change. Other factors must be involved in the production of the 'additional' DNA damage by ^{125}I -estrogen decay. This factor could be the nuclear location targeted by ^{125}I -estrogen. The nuclear target is genomic DNA at the nuclear matrix (8). The localization of ^{125}I -estrogen to the base of several looped domains of DNA could result in dense energy deposition at the base of looped domains of DNA that would produce DNA fragments approximately the size of looped domains of DNA (10–200 kbp) (9) in addition to the short fragments described above.

The significance of this so-called 'additional' clustered DNA damage (longer fragments equivalent to 100 kbp) produced by ^{125}I -estrogen may be in elucidating the non-homogeneous nature of the mammalian cell genome. The 'additional' clustered DNA damage produced by ^{125}I -estrogen decay accumulation does not result in 'additional' cell killing. The RBE for cell killing by ^{125}I -estrogen compared to γ irradiation is optimally about the same as the RBE for cell killing by $^{125}\text{IUdR}$ compared to γ irradiation. Therefore, since there is no increase in effectiveness of ^{125}I -estrogen killing over $^{125}\text{IUdR}$, we can speculate that the *extra* DNA damage that is observed with ^{125}I -estrogen is not likely lethal for the cell. Extension of this line of reasoning suggests that the type of clustered DNA damage produced by $^{125}\text{IUdR}$ (short fragments are produced) are the lethal lesions produced by ^{125}I decay.

The notion of extra DNA damage produced by ^{125}I decay not contributing to lethality is consistent with other studies labelling cells at specific sites in the nuclear genome, causing increased or decreased cell kill. These data and others confirm the idea that the entire nuclear genome is not uniformly sensitive to accumulated ^{125}I decay (10) although this is the first demonstration of *different DNA dsb damage* corresponding to similar levels of cell killing. Several models have been proposed to explain how ^{125}I decay can produce different degrees of cell killing. For example, some models include the postulation of, 1). Sensitive specific gene sequences, 2). Specific locations in chromatin, 3). Target versus non-target, 4). 2 targets versus multiple targets, and 5). Simple versus complex lesions. It is clear from the multitude of models proposed to explain differential cell killing by ^{125}I that further work needs to be done.

In conclusion, since the additional DNA damage produced by ^{125}I -estrogen decay accumulation does not correlate with increased cell killing, the additional DNA damage produced by ^{125}I -estrogen at the base of looped domains of DNA is probably not a primary target for radiation-induced cell death. Therefore, these data show that additional DNA damage can be produced in the nuclear genome relative to chromatin but, the entire nuclear genome is not uniformly sensitive to DNA damage produced by ^{125}I decay.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute grant R15CA74544 to LY and the Department of Energy grant DE-FG02-99ER62793 to ERD.

REFERENCES

1. Yasui LS, Hughes A, DeSombre ER. DNA damage induction by ^{125}I -estrogen. *Acta Oncol* 1996; 35: 841–7.
2. Ward JF, Mulligan JR, Jones GDD, Fahey RC. Multiply damaged sites. In: Fuciarelli AF, Zimbrick JD, eds. *Radiation damage in DNA: structure/function relationships at early times*. Columbus, Ohio: Battelle Press, 1995: 45–53.
3. Yasui LS. Nuclear lesions produced by ^{125}I decay. In: Howell RW, Narra VR, Sastry KSR, Rao DV, eds. *Biophysical aspects of Auger processes*. AAPM Symposium Series No. 8. 1992: 210–26.
4. Lobrich M, Cooper PK, Rydberg B. Joining of correct and incorrect DNA ends and double-strand breaks produced by high linear energy transfer radiation in human fibroblasts. *Radiat Res* 1998; 150: 619–26.
5. Martin RF, Haseltine WA. Range of radiochemical damage to DNA with decay of iodine-125. *Science* 1981; 213: 896–8.
6. Panyutin IG, Neumann RD. Sequence-specific DNA double strand breaks induced by triplex forming ^{125}I -labeled oligonucleotides. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1994; 22: 4979–82.
7. Brenner DJ, Ward JF. Constraints on energy deposition and target size of multiply damaged sites associated with DNA double strand breaks. *Int J Radiat Biol* 1992; 61: 737–48.
8. Nelson WG, Pienta KJ, Barrack ER, Coffey DS. The role of the nuclear matrix in the organization and function of DNA. *Ann Rev Biophys Biol Chem* 1986; 15: 457–75.
9. Bodnar JW. A domain model for eukaryotic zDNA organization: a molecular basis for cell differentiation and chromosome evolution. *J Theor Biol* 1988; 132: 479–507.
10. Yasui LS, Hofer KG, Warters RL. Inhomogeneity of the nucleus to $^{125}\text{IUdR}$ cytotoxicity. *Radiat Res* 1985; 102: 106–18.
11. Hofer KG, Keough G, Smith JM. Biological toxicity of Auger emitters and molecular fragmentation versus electron irradiation. *Curr Top Radiat Res* 1977; 12: 335–54.
12. Flick MB, Warters RL, Yasui LS, Krisch RE. Measurement of radiation induced DNA damage using gel electrophoresis or neutral filter elution shows an increased frequency of DNA strand breaks after exposure to pH 9.6. *Radiat Res* 1989; 119: 452–65.