FROM THE DEPARTMENTS OF SURGERY AT UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL, UMEÅ, MALMÖ GENERAL HOSPITAL, MALMO, KAROLINSKA PHARMACY, KAROLINSKA INSTITUTE, STOCKHOLM, SAHLGRENSKA HOSPITAL, GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA, BIRMINGHAM, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, USA, AND ULLEVAL HOSPITAL, OSLO, NORWAY.

PHARMACOKINETICS OF INTRA-ARTERIAL MITOMYCIN C WITH OR WITHOUT DEGRADABLE STARCH MICROSPHERES (DSM) IN THE TREATMENT OF NON-RESECTABLE LIVER CANCER

M. ANDERSSON, K. F. ARONSEN, C. BALCH, L. DOMELLOF, S. **EKSBORG,** L. 0. HAFSTROM, S. B. HOWELL, R. KARESEN, J. MIDANDER and H. TEDER

Abstract

The effects of degradable starch microspheres (DSM) on mitomycin C pharmacokinetics and bone marrow toxicity were studied in a phase I1 multicenter study. Sixty-three patients with nonresectable primary or secondary liver cancer were randomized to receive either i.a. mitomycin C 15 mg/m² first, followed 5 weeks later by mitomycin C 15 mg/m² plus DSM 360 mg administered into the hepatic artery (group I) or the same treatments in the opposite sequence (group 11). In 36 out of 47 patients who received at least 2 treatments, peripheral venous blood samples were analyzed for mitomycin C pharmacokinetics on a minimum of 2 paired courses. In all patients, the area under the concentration time curve (AUC) **was** significantly lower when the drug **was** co-administrated with DSM, but the terminal half-life $(t_{1/2})$ of mitomycin C was unchanged. In group I the addition of DSM resulted in a significantly lowered AUC, but not in group 11. The discrepancy between the 2 groups is probably due to differences in DSM-induced intra-hepatic shunting. The addition of DSM resulted in significantly higher platelet nadir values, but **un**changed white blood cell count nadir value. In conclusion, DSM reduce the systemic exposure of mitomycin C and seem to lessen the haematologic toxicity judged from a less pronounced decrease in platelets.

Key words: Liver cancer, chemotherapy, intra-arterial, degradable starch microspheres.

Primary and secondary liver cancer have an extremely poor outcome **(1, 2),** and it has been estimated that more than **200000** persons in the USA yearly will die from or with liver metastases **(3).** Ligation of the hepatic artery or hepatic dearterialization has been tried for several years in order to impair the nutritional blood flow to the liver tumors. Transient reduction of the tumor burden has been observed, but no significantly increased survival **(4,** *5).* Systemic cytostatic treatment in locally advanced liver cancer is of limited value, with remission rates of only about **20%** *(6-8).* Hepatic intra-arterial cancer chemo-

therapy has the potential advantage of achieving a very high target drug concentration in the tumor, and can produce a substantial pharmacologic advantage when appropriate agents are used **(9).** By combining reduction in arterial blood flow with hepatic intra-arterial cytostatic treatment target drug exposure may be prolonged, tumor drug uptake increased, and systemic drug exposure decreased **(10).**

Intra-arterially administered degradable starch microspheres (DSM) have been shown to reduce arterial blood flow for **15-30** min after the infusion **(11).** The present multicenter study was designed to analyze the effects of DSM administered via the hepatic artery, on the pharmacokinetics of co-administered mitomycin C in patients with locally advanced primary or secondary liver cancer. The possible protective effects of DSM on mitomycin **C**induced bone marrow toxicity were also studied.

Material and Methods

Patient selection. Sixty-one patients with histologically proven primary or secondary liver cancer were randomized in the multicenter study. Inclusion criteria were positive cancer histopathology, non-resectable tumor, and disease limited to or mainly limited to the liver. Exclusion criteria were portal hypertension, portal vein or hepatic artery occlusion demonstrated at angiography, previous treatment with BCNU or mitomycin C and performance score less than **70** on the Karnofsky scale. The study has been accepted by the ethical committees at the participating hospitals. Thirteen patients were excluded since they received only one treatment and one patient due to im-

Presented at ECCO-4, Madrid, November **1-4.** 1987.

'Breast, lung, pancreas, unknown.

Table 2

Median pharmacokinetic parameters in both treamtent groups. Figures within parentheses show 95 % *conjidence interval from the median*

paired function of the vascular access port. Forty-seven patients received at least **2** treatments. Peripheral venous blood sampling for mitomycin **C** analysis and pharmacokinetic evaluation was performed in **36** of these patients.

The mean age of the patients **(25** males and **22** females) was *60* years (range **37-78).** The primary site of the tumor was predominantly colorectal (Table **1).**

Treatment schedule. The patients were randomized to treatment with either mitomycin **C, 15** mg/m2 (group I), or mitomycin C (same dose) plus **360** mg DSM (group 11) as first treatment. A cross-over treatment was given *5* weeks later. The degradable starch microspheres (Spherex) were kindly supplied by Pharmacia AB, Uppsala, Sweden. DSM and mitomycin C were thoroughly mixed before administration. The treatment was administered via a catheter, introduced into the proper hepatic artery under fluoroscopic control. In a few cases the intra-arterial infusion was given via a subcutaneous vascular access port (Port-A-Cath). The infusion time was **4** min and the infusion volume **30** ml. After the first **2** treatments a clinical evaluation was performed, and in the absence of progressive disease or pronounced toxicity, the dose of mitomycin C was increased to 25 mg/m^2 and the patient was treated with an additional pair of courses.

Blood sampling. Blood *(5* ml) was sampled from a peripheral vein **2,4,8, 16, 32** min and **1,2,4** and **6** h from the end of infusion. The blood was collected in glass tubes containing **250** IU heparin, and immediately centrifuged for **10** min at **4000** rpm. The plasma fraction was removed and stored at **-80°C** until analysis of mitomycin **C.**

In addition, blood was sampled before treatment and on

Table 3

Median AUC, $t_{1/2}$ *, delta AUC and delta* $t_{1/2}$ *in the treatment groups Cfirst treatment underlined). Figures within parentheses show 95* % *confidence interval from the median*

| | Group I | Group II | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| AUC mitomycin C | 136 | 134 | |
| $nmol·min·ml-1$ | $(110-166)$ | $(109 - 162)$ | |
| AUC mitomycin $C+DSM$ | 91 | 127 | |
| $nmol \cdot min \cdot ml^{-1}$ | $(70-108)$ | $(98 - 152)$ | |
| delta AUC* | 46 | 15 | |
| nmol·min·ml ⁻¹ | $(20 - 71)$ | $(-18-46)$ | |
| $t_{1/2}$ mitomycin C | <u>45</u> | 38 | |
| min | $(33 - 58)$ | $(32 - 48)$ | |
| $t_{1/2}$ mitomycin C+DSM | 40 | <u>44</u> | |
| min | $(25 - 72)$ | $(35 - 59)$ | |
| delta $t_{1/2}$ ^{**} min | (–12–21) | -5 - 19-41 | |
| | | | |

Delta AUC = AUC mitomycin C-AUC mitomycin C+DSM. ** Delta t₁₂ = t₁₂ mitomycin C-t₁₂ mitomycin C+DSM.

days **14, 21, 28** and **35** after the treatment for analysis of white blood cell and platelets and **on** days **1, 5** and **7** for analysis of bilirubin, ASAT, ALAT, alkaline phosphate counts and creatinine. The change in percentages in these parameters was calculated with the use of the pretreatment and the maximal increased/decreased values.

Mitomycin C assay. Mitomycin **C** was assayed using a modification of the technique reported by denHartigh **et** al. **(12)** and Hu & Howell **(13).** Briefly, mitomycin **C** was

Table 4

Median haematologic nadir values in the treatment groups. Figures within parentheses show 95 % *confidence interval from the median*

| ı ۰. × | |
|--------------|--|
|--------------|--|

Median maximal values of bilirubin, ALAT, ASAT, alkaline phosphates and creatinine. Figures within parentheses show 95 % *confidence interval from the median*

measured by reverse phase high pressure liquid chromatography following saturation of the sample with sodium chloride in extraction into chloroform: isopropylalcohol. Porfiromycin was used as internal standard, and baseline separation was obtained between the mitomycin and porfiromycin peaks and all the other peaks in the chromatogram. The limit of sensitivity was **1** ng/ml, and recovery was $76.1 \pm 5.7\%$ (SD), with a coefficient of variation of 2.5 %.

Pharmacokinetic evaluation. The AUC (area under the concentration time curve) was calculated by the trapezoidal rule. The terminal half-life $(t_{1/2})$ of mitomycin C (M) was obtained from a standard pharmacokinetic model procedure, using the Gauss-Newton-Harley algorithm. The AUC ratio was calculated as AUC_{M+DSM}/AUC_M .

Statistical procedures. The Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed-ranks test were used for the comparison of 2 related samples. Two independent samples were compared with the Mann-Whitney U-test. The χ^2 -test and the Mann-Whitney U-test were used for comparison of the AUC ratio in the 2 groups. A p-value less than **0.05** was considered statistically signifcant.

ReSultS

Overall, of the **61** patients randomized 25 (13 from group I and 12 from group **11)** were excluded from the

IS-

pharmacokinetic study and **14** patients from the toxicologic evaluation because of rapidly progressive disease or incomplete blood sampling. No essential difference was found with regard to primary tumor site between the excluded and the analyzed patients. The pharmacokinetic and toxicologic evaluations were performed after the first 2 treatments.

Seventeen patients were randomized to receive mitomycin C alone (group I) and 19 patients to receive mitomycin C plus DSM (group **11)** as the first treatment. The median values of the pharmacokinetic parameters for both groups are shown in Table 2 and for each group in Table 3. Median AUC after mitomycin C was significantly lower with DSM than without when all patients $(p=0.004)$ and group I (p=0.002) were considered, but not when group **I1** alone was considered. The median $t_{1/2}$ of mitomycin C did not seem to be influenced by the addition of **DSM.** The AUC ratio (AUC_{M+DSM}/AUC_M) was less than 1 in 27 patients **(15** in group I and 12 in group **II),** and more than **1** in 9 patients (2 in group I and **7** in group **11).** No significant difference with regard to AUC ratio was found between the 2 groups.

The median decrease in platelet count was significantly lower and the median platelet nadir value significantly higher when DSM were added to the treatment, but the median white blood cell count was unchanged (Table **4).** Although some patients experienced slight pain after administration of the **DSM,** the microspheres did not induce any significant hepatic toxicity. The maximal posttreatment values of bilirubin, ALAT, ASAT, alkaline phosphatases and creatinine were not significantly different after mitomycin C plus **DSM** as compared to mitomycin C alone (Table **5).** Out of **47** patients, one complete and **6** partial responses were observed.

Mscussion

The small but significant reduction in the decrease in platelets in percentages, and the higher platelet nadir values when **DSM** were added, indicate that **DSM,** to some extent, protect the bone marrow against mitomycin Cinduced marrow toxicity. This protection, however, was not sufficient to result in a higher nadir white blood cell count.

Studies on primary human tumor samples often demonstrate that the tumors are resistant to drug concentrations attainable in the plasma, but are frequently sensitive to drug concentrations **2** times higher **(14).** Consequently a treatment strategy which increases the tumor drug concentration might significantly improve the clinical results. The combination of **DSM** and cytostatic agents exemplifies such a strategy. The microspheres transiently occlude or reduce the hepatic arterial blood flow at the arteriolar level for about **15** to **30** min before **DSM** are degraded by serum amylase. The blood flow reduction should result in a prolonged drug exposure time and higher tumor and liver drug concentrations. Mitomycin C was chosen as a suitable anticancer drug because of its previously proven effect against liver cancer **(15),** and its pharmacokinetic characteristics **(13).**

The lower systemic drug exposure (measured as the reduction of median AUC) when **DSM** were added to the treatment was found to average **27%.** This is consistent with earlier studies reporting reductions of **30-35%** (10, **16).** However, in the present study, only patients randomized to receive mitomycin C alone as the first treatment (group I), showed a significant decrease in AUC when **DSM** were added. The reason for this is difficult to explain. Previous investigations, in which **DSM** have been administrated into the hepatic artery, have demonstrated intrahepatic arterio-venous shunting **(17, 18).** This phenomenon, which appears to be related to the dose of **DSM (18),** may reduce the occlusion effect of the microspheres. It has also been claimed that **DSM** open up or increase the blood flow in arterio-venous shunts **(17).** An altered shunting effect may hypothetically be explained by vascular damage induced by a prolonged mitomycin C exposure.

For practical reasons, a fixed dose of DSM was chosen. We decided a low dose of **DSM** (360 mg, **6** ml) based upon early studies (11). In a phase I study, this dose was found to cause little shunting to the lungs **(15** %) in comparison to larger doses of **9-15** ml of **DSM (16).**

Finally, it has been reported that the activation of mitomycin C is increased under anaerobic conditions **(19).** Whether this fact, in combination with the pharmacokinetic advantages of **DSM** as an adjunct to mitomycin C, is sufficient to improve the therapeutic effect has to be defined by further studies.

Request *for* reprints: Dr Magnus Andersson, Dept of Surgery, 6rebro Medical Center Hospital, **S-70185** Orebro, Sweden.

REFERENCES

- **1.** Bengmark **S,** Hafstrom LO. The natural history of primary and secondary malignant tumors of the liver. I. The prognosis for patients with hepatic metastases from colonic and rectal carcinoma by laparotomy. Cancer **1969; 23: 198-202.**
- **2.** Jaffe BM, Donegan WL, Watson F, Spratt JS. Factors influencing survival in patients with untreated hepatic metastases. Surg Gynecol Obstet **1968; 127: 1-1 1.**
- **3.** Domellof L. Natural history of liver cancer: Ann Chir **1986; 75** (Suppl **200): 19-21.**
- **4.** Almersjo **0,** Bengmark **S.** Rudenstam CM, Hafstrom LO, Nilsson LAV. Evaluation of hepatic dearterialization in primary and secondary cancer of the liver. Am J Surg **1972; 124: 5-10,**
- **5.** Nilsson LAV. Therapeutic hepatic artery ligation in patients with secondary liver tumors. Rev Surg **1966; 23: 374-6.**
- **6.** Bedikian AY. Regional and systemic chemotherapy for advanced colorectal cancer. Dis Col Rec **1983; 26: 327-32.**
- **7.** Huberman MS. Comparison of systemic chemotherapy with hepatic arterial infusion in metastatic colorectal carcinoma. Semin Oncol **1983; 10: 238-48.**
- **8.** Lee YTN. Systemic and regional treatment of primary carcinoma of the liver. Cancer 'Reat Rev **1977; 4: 195-212.**
- **9.** Ensminger WD, Gyves JW. Regional cancer chemotherapy. Cancer Treat Rep 1984; 68: 101-15.
- **10.** Gyves JW, Ensminger WD, VanHarken D, Niederhuber J, Stetson P, Walker **S.** Improved regional selectivity of hepatic arterial mitomycin by starch microspheres. Clin Pharmacol Ther **1983; 34: 259-65.**
- **11.** Lindberg B, Lote K, Teder H. Biodegradable starch microspheres-a new medical tool. In: Davis SS, Illum L, McVie JG, Tomlinson E, eds. Microspheres and drug therapy. Amsterdam: Elsevier, **1984: 153-88.**
- 12. denHartigh J, vanOort WJ, McVie G, Bocken MCYM, Pinedo HM. A sensitive method for analysis of mitomycin C in clinical pharmacology studies. Proc Am Assoc Cancer Res **1981; 22: 179.**
- **13.** Hu E, Howell **SB.** Pharmacokinetics of intra-arterial mitomycin C in humans. Cancer Res **1983; 43: 4474-7.**
- **14.** Hamburger AW, Salmon SE. Primary bioassay of human tumor stem cells. Science **1977; 197: 461-3.**
- **15.** Crooke ST, Bradner **WT.** Mitomycin C: a review. Cancer Reat Rev **1976;** 3: **121-39.**
- **16.** Ensminger WD, Gyves JW, Stetson P, Walker-Andrews **S.** Phase I study of hepatic arterial degradable starch microspheres and mitomycin. Cancer Res **1985; 45: 4464-7.**
- **17.** Starkhammar H, Hkansson L, Morales 0, Svedberg J. Effect of microspheres in intra-arterial chemotherapy. A study of arterio-venous shunting and passage of a labelled marker. Med Oncol Tumor Pharmacother **1988; 4: 87-96.**
- **18.** Ziessman HA, Thrall JH, Gyves JW, et al. Quantitative hepatic arterial perfusion scintigraphy and starch microspheres in cancer chemotherapy. J Nucl Med **1983; 24: 871-5.**
- **19.** Nomura F, Ohnishi K, Koen H, et al. Effects of hypoxia and phenobarbital treatment on the metabolism of mitomycin C in experimental animals. Hepatology **1985; 5: 1190-3.**