LETTER



Aaron Trando^a, Karen M. Austin^b, Brian Hinds^c, Ah-Reum Jeong^d and Aaron M. Goodman^d

^aDepartment of Medicine, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, San Diego, CA, USA; ^bDepartment of Medicine, University of California San Diego Moores Cancer Center, San Diego, CA, USA; ^cDepartment of Dermatology, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA; ^dDepartment of Medicine, Division of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA

Introduction

Mastocytosis encompasses a heterogenous group of disorders caused by the proliferation of mast cells in tissues. Two major subtypes, as defined by the World Health Organization, include cutaneous (CM) and systemic mastocytosis (SM) [1]. CM is limited to the skin and results in dermatitis without any extra-cutaneous manifestations [2]. SM represents a group of neoplastic disorders with varying clinical behavior, ranging from indolent disease that causes symptoms related to mast cell degranulation (such as urticaria) to aggressive disease with multi-organ involvement and poor outcomes [1].

An important protein that plays a key role in regulating mast cell growth and development is the type three tyrosine kinase receptor, c-KIT. Over 90% of cases of SM harbor an activating *c-KIT* D816V mutation that arises spontaneously [3]. Rarely, mastocytosis is observed to develop after radiation therapy for treatment of a separate malignancy (Table 1) [4–13]. Among the 10 described cases in the literature, only two prior diagnoses of mastocytosis with a *c-KIT* mutation have been identified. Here, we report the first known case of radiation-associated CM with a mutation in *c-KIT* and describe the favorable prognosis of radiation-associated mastocytosis.

A 57-year-old female presented with small blanching erythematous macules scattered along her right breast and axilla with a negative Darier's sign (Figure 1A). She had a history of hormone receptor positive, HER2-negative breast carcinoma treated with bilateral mastectomies and adjuvant docetaxel/ cyclophosphamide followed by 50 Gy of radiation therapy to the right chest wall and right supraclavicular fossa (completed 7 months prior to presentation). The macules were confined to her prior radiation field. A punch biopsy of the dermatitis revealed CD117+ mast cells in the papillary and upper reticular dermis, typical of CM (Figure 1B). Serum tryptase was elevated to 26.4 ug/L (normal limit, <10.9 ug/L), which was confirmed on repeat testing. The rest of her laboratory evaluation, including chemistry panel and complete blood count, were within normal limits. She denied any diarrhea, syncope, or history of anaphylaxis.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 16 April 2024 Accepted 16 June 2024 Published 14 August 2024

KEYWORDS

Cutaneous mastocytosis; systemic mastocytosis; breast carcinoma; radiation therapy

To rule out the possibility of SM, a bone marrow aspiration and core biopsy were performed. The biopsy revealed 50-60% cellularity with very rare, CD117 positive spindle shaped mast cells that were scattered and without aggregates. Targeted flow cytometry analysis of the bone marrow aspirate showed no definitive mast cells. Molecular testing by polymerase chain reaction performed on both the bone marrow and peripheral blood revealed a c-KIT D816V point mutation. Although she did not have overt bone marrow involvement by morphology, the *c-KIT* mutation suggested the presence of a clonal population. Ultimately, as she fulfilled only two minor criteria for systemic mastocytosis (elevated tryptase and a positive c-KIT D816V mutation), she was diagnosed with cutaneous mastocytosis. However, her disease could be best described as pre-diagnostic SM, which is an entity that is recognized for patients who do not meet full criteria for SM but meet 1-2 minor criteria and exhibit symptoms of mastocytosis [14]. Other than the persistent dermatitis over her breast along with occasional episodes of flushing, she has had no other symptoms over the last 4 years and has only been observed. As such, this case highlights the wide spectrum of primary mast cell disorders.

Discussion

PubMed and EMBASE were reviewed from 1950 to 2023 to identify all known reported cases of radiation-associated mastocytosis, yielding a total of 10 cases (Table 1). Among the 11 reported cases (including ours), radiation-associated mastocytosis has been observed exclusively in female patients aged 37–61 who were treated with surgery followed by radiation for breast

CONTACT Aaron Trando atrando@health.ucsd.edu Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, 3855 Health Sciences Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0658, USA

^{© 2024} The Author(s). Published by MJS Publishing on behalf of Acta Oncologica. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, with the condition of proper attribution to the original work.

Table 1. Summary of all reported literature cases of radiation-associated mastocytosis.

Patient	Gender (age at diagnosis)	Malignancy (time from radiation to rash onset)	Cumulative radiation dose	Bone marrow biopsy results	Serum tryptase level	Organ involvement over disease course	Presence of <i>c-KIT</i> D186V mutation	Diagnosis	Treatment
Macdonald et al.	Female (61)	Breast Cancer	2400 R (~21	NR	NR	Skin	NR	СМ	NR
(1971) [4]		(4 months)	Gy)						
Comte et al. (2003) [5]	Female (39)	Breast Cancer (1 month)	45 Gy	NR	NR	Skin	NR	СМ	None
Soilleux et al. (2009) [6]	Female (62)	Breast Cancer (1 year)	NR	No excess of mast cells	Reportedly normal	Skin	NR	СМ	None
Davidson et al. (2012) [7]	Female (59)	Breast Cancer (3 months)	50 Gy	Negative for mast cells	14.4 ug/L (NL, <13.5 ug/L)	Skin; Occasional flushing	NR	СМ	Antihistamines
Hellen et al. (2014) [8]	Female (43)	Breast Cancer (8 months)	50 Gy	NR	Reportedly normal	Skin	NR	СМ	Antihistamines (discontinued after 3 years)
Dalmasso et al. (2017) [9]	Female (37)	Breast Cancer (5 months)	50 Gy	>20% of isolated mast cells were abnormal	NR	Skin; Hot flushes	NR	СМ	None
Tate et al. (2018) [10]	Female (64)	Breast Cancer (3 months)	50 Gy	Initial biopsy – negative; Repeat biopsy 5 years later -multifocal mast cell aggregates admixed with mature lymphocytes (>25% of mast cells were atypical)	Progressively increased from ~14 to ~20 ng/ mL over 5 years	Skin; Progressive hot flushes, post-shower erythema	No -> Yes (on repeat testing 5 years later)	CM that progressed to indolent SM	Supportive care (antihistamines and avoidance of triggers)
Easwaralingam et al. (2018) [11]	Female (59)	Breast Cancer (9 years)	60 Gy	Diffuse infiltrate of spindle-shaped mast cells	24.7 ug/L (Elevated)	Skin; Occasional night sweats	Yes	SM	Prophylactic antihistamines
Allen et al. (2021) [12]	Female (50)	Breast Cancer (15 months)	NR	Hypercellular marrow with focal aggregates of spindled mast cells	21.7 ng/mL (Elevated)	Skin; Gl + Bladder + Bone Symptoms	No	SM	Antihistamines
Murphy et al. (2023) [13]	Female (64)	Breast Cancer (12 months)	46–50 Gy	NR	22.3–24 ug/L (NL, <11 ug/L)	Skin	No	СМ	None
University of California San Diego	Female (57)	Breast Cancer (7 months)	50 Gy	Rare mast cells with CD117 IHC staining	26.4 ug/L (NL, <10.9 ug/L)	Skin; Occasional hot flushes	Yes	СМ	None

CD117, cluster of differentiation 117; CM, cutaneous mastocytosis; GI, gastrointestinal; Gy, gray; IHC, immunohistochemistry; NL, normal limit; NR, not reported; R, roentgen; SM, systemic mastocytosis.

carcinoma. The time period between radiation therapy and the onset of skin changes consistent with mastocytosis ranged from 1 month to 9 years. The dose of administered radiation therapy ranged from approximately 21 to 60 Gy.

All 11 patients received an initial skin biopsy that confirmed an increased concentration of mast cells in the dermis [4–13]. Six of 11 patients had both a bone marrow biopsy performed and a tryptase level measured. Five of 11 patients were evaluated for the presence of the *c-KIT* D816V mutation, of whom three (including ours) tested positive [10, 11]. Three patients fulfilled diagnostic criteria for SM [10–12].

Among the 11 patients, five had solely cutaneous manifestations. Five patients had skin involvement along with benign systemic symptoms associated with mast cell degranulation, such as occasional hot flushes, night sweats, or erythema after showering. One patient had dermatitis with more pronounced systemic symptoms of abdominal pain, bladder incontinence, and bone pain [12]. Our patient had an

elevated tryptase level, but like the other seven cases of pure CM, predominantly had skin involvement with mild systemic symptoms.

All reported cases of radiation-associated mastocytosis had very favorable outcomes. Five received no treatment and were simply observed, while five received antihistamines. There were four reported instances of dermatitis progression beyond the initial area of radiation, with isolated extension into the abdomen, thigh, torso, and contralateral breast, respectively [7, 8, 10, 11]. None of the 11 reported cases experienced any longterm adverse effects (including death) from post-radiation mastocytosis. No patients developed any associated myeloid malignancies.

The pathogenesis of mastocytosis post-radiation therapy remains unknown. One explanation, based on *in-vitro* experiments, suggests that ionizing radiation promotes skin fibrosis, as it signals degranulation and subsequent tryptase secretion by mast cells, which are known to be radioresistant



(B)

(A)



Figure 1. (A) Right breast: Multiple scattered pink to red-brown papules within previously irradiated skin. (B) Hematoxylin and eosin-stained section, 100X magnification (left) demonstrating a subtle infiltrate of cells with monotonous nuclei surrounded by pale, abundant cytoplasm in the papillary dermis. CD117 c-KIT immunohistochemistry (right) shows a marked increase in mast cell labeling in the superficial dermis, consistent with a diagnosis of cutaneous mastocytosis.

[15, 16]. Another theory is that mast cell infiltration reflects a koebnerization response to trauma, accounting for why the inflammation is usually confined to the borders of irradiated areas [5]. Nevertheless, such hypotheses neglect the clonal nature of these mast cells. The presence of the *c-KIT* D816V mutation in our case strengthens an alternative hypothesis where similar to the enrichment of *TP53* mutations in other therapy-related myeloid malignancies, radiation therapy might select for a clonal population of mast cells with a potential survival advantage due to the presence of the *c-KIT* mutation [17].

Conclusion

In this report and review of the literature, we highlight mastocytosis as a potential complication of radiation therapy. Although rare, mastocytosis should be considered for any patient who presents with a new dermatitis after completing radiation therapy, especially for breast cancer. As radiation-associated mastocytosis appears to have an indolent course, treatment should consist of observation and skin-directed therapies.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Funding sources

The authors did not receive funding from any organization for the submitted work.

Conflict of interest disclosure

No conflicts of interest declared.

Ethics approval statement

This case report was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The collection and evaluation of all protected health information were performed in a Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)-compliant manner.

Patient consent statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient, including permission for publication of images.

Permission to reproduce material from other sources

No material created by others, including images and text, was used.

Clinical trial registration

Not applicable.

Author contributions

Aaron Trando designed and wrote the first draft of the paper, interpreted the results, organized the table, and compiled the reference list. Karen M. Austin interpreted the results and edited the paper. Brian Hinds interpreted the results, gathered images for the figure, and provided clinical care for the patient described. Ah-Reum Jeong interpreted the results and edited the paper. Aaron M. Goodman interpreted the results, edited the paper, and provided clinical care for the patient described. All authors were involved in the revision of the manuscript and approved the final version.

References

- El Hussein S, Chifotides HT, Khoury JD, Verstovsek S, Thakral B. Systemic mastocytosis and other entities involving mast cells: a practical review and update. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(14):3474. https://doi. org/10.3390/cancers14143474
- [2] Di Raimondo C, Del Duca E, Silvaggio D, Di Prete M, Lombardo P, Mazzeo M, et al. Cutaneous mastocytosis: a dermatological perspective. Australas J Dermatol. 2021 Feb;62(1):e1–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ajd.13443

- [3] Chatterjee A, Ghosh J, Kapur R. Mastocytosis: a mutated kit receptor induced myeloproliferative disorder. Oncotarget. 2015;6(21):18250– 64. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.4213
- [4] Macdonald A, Feiwel M. Cutaneous mastocytosis: an unusual radiation dermatitis. Proc R Soc Med. 1971;64(1):29–30. https://doi. org/10.1177/003591577106400118
- [5] Comte C, Bessis D, Dereure O, Guillot B. Urticaria pigmentosa localized on radiation field. Eur J Dermatol. 2003;13(4):408–9.
- [6] Soilleux EJ, Brown VL, Bowling J. Cutaneous mastocytosis localized to a radiotherapy field. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2009;34(1):111–2. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2230.2008.02931.x
- [7] Davidson SJ, Coates D. Cutaneous mastocytosis extending beyond a radiotherapy site: a form of radiodermatitis or a neoplastic phenomenon? Australas J Dermatol. 2013;54(4):e85–7. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1440-0960.2012.00961.x
- [8] Hellen R, Kiely C, Murad A, Mulligan N, Coffey J, Lenane P, et al. Two cases of dermatoses koebnerizing within fields of previous radiotherapy. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2014;39(8):900–3. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ced.12421
- [9] Dalmasso C, Tournier É, de Lafontan B, Modesto A, Dalenc F, Chantalat É, et al. Uncommon dermatologic disorders triggered by radiation therapy of breast cancer: a case-series. Cancer Radiother. 2017;21(3):216–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canrad.2016.11.004
- [10] Tate C, Tang F, Lane SW, Seymour L. Clinical and molecular categorization of progressive, adult-onset cutaneous mastocytosis. JAAD Case Rep. 2017;4(1):30–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdcr.2017.09.038
- [11] Easwaralingam N, Wu Y, Cheung D, Tiver K, Fuller S, Edirimanne S. Radiotherapy for breast cancer associated with a cutaneous presentation of systemic mastocytosis-a case report and literature review. J Surg Case Rep. 2018;2018(11):rjy317. https://doi.org/10.1093/jscr/rjy317
- [12] Allen K, Marks K, Mathew B. Post-radiotherapy cutaneous mastocytosis. Diagn Histopathol. 2021;27(1):53–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mpdhp.2020.10.014
- [13] Murphy M, Valentini R, Shaughnessy E. Radiotherapy-associated cutaneous mastocytosis in a patient with breast carcinoma. Case report and review of the literature. Am J Dermatopathol. 2023;45(5):339–42. https://doi.org/10.1097/DAD.00000000002404
- [14] Pardanani A. Systemic mastocytosis in adults: 2023 update on diagnosis, risk stratification and management. Am J Hematol. 2023 Jul;98(7):1097–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.26962
- [15] Albrecht M, Müller K, Köhn FM, Meineke V, Mayerhofer A. Ionizing radiation induces degranulation of human mast cells and release of tryptase. Int J Radiat Biol. 2007;83(8):535–41. https://doi. org/10.1080/09553000701444657
- [16] Soule BP, Brown JM, Kushnir-Sukhov NM, Simone NL, Mitchell JB, Metcalfe DD. Effects of gamma radiation on FccRl and TLR-mediated mast cell activation. J Immunol. 2007;179(5):3276–86. https:// doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.179.5.3276
- [17] Wong TN, Ramsingh G, Young AL, Miller CA, Touma W, Welch JS, et al. Role of TP53 mutations in the origin and evolution of therapy-related acute myeloid leukaemia. Nature. 2015;518(7540):552–5. https://doi. org/10.1038/nature13968