

Table SI. Comparisons of the baseline characteristics of the patients with stroke who were included (n = 3276) and excluded (n = 4668)

	Included Patients n = 3276	Excluded Patients n = 4668	P-Value
Age			<0.001 [‡]
Mean ± SD	71.5 ± 12.4	75.2 ± 13.9	
Median (IQR)	73 (15)	77 (18)	
Sex, n (%)			<0.001
Female	1311 (40)	2324 (50)	
Male	1965 (60)	2344 (50)	
Stroke severity at admission, NIHSS			0.34 [‡]
Median (IQR)	3 (5)	3 (7)	
Motor function in upper limbs, n (%) [*]			0.001
Impaired	563 (20)	843 (24)	
Normal	2240 (80)	2747 (76)	
Verbal communication, n (%) [†]			0.75
Impaired	1300 (47)	628 (47)	
Normal	1473 (53)	1875 (53)	

SD: Standard deviation; IQR: Interquartile range; NIHSS: The National Institutes of Health Stroke scale.

^{*}Aggregated variable from two items of the NIHSS's motor function in left and right upper limb. Answer categories 0–1 was coded as normal motor function.

[†]Aggregated variable from two items of the NIHSS's best language and dysarthria. Answer category 0 was coded as normal communication ability.

Statistics: [‡] Mann–Whitney U test; all remaining statistics, Chi-2 test.

Proportion of missing data: NIHSS, 20%; Motor function in upper limbs, 19%; Verbal communication, 21%.

Table SII. Characteristics of the total study sample, n = 3276, with combined registry and EFFECTS cohorts, regarding the short forms of the MoCA

Characteristics	Pooled Data n = 3276	Registry Cohort n = 1990	EFFECTS Cohort n = 1286
T-MoCA (0–22 p), Mean (SD)	16.4 (3.7)	16.5 (3.5)	16.2 (4.0)
Median (IQR)	17 (5)	17 (5)	17 (5)
Impaired cognitive function, ≤ 18 p, n (%)	2197 (67)	1339 (67)	858 (68)
NINDS-CSN (0–12 p), Mean (SD)	8.1 (2.3)	8.1 (2.2)	8.1 (2.3)
Median (IQR)	8 (3)	8 (3)	8 (4)
Impaired cognitive function, ≤ 9 p, n (%)	2284 (70)	1407 (71)	877 (68)
SF-MoCA (0–14 p), Mean (SD)	10.1 (2.6)	10.1 (2.5)	10.1 (2.8)
Median (IQR)	11 (3)	11 (3)	11 (3)
Impaired cognitive function, ≤ 11 p, n (%)	2152 (66)	1329 (67)	823 (64)

EFFECTS: The Efficacy of Fluoxetine – a randomised Controlled Trial in Stroke; SD: Standard deviation; IQR: Interquartile range; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment; T-MoCA: Telephone version of the MoCA, validated for telephone use; NINDS-CSN: The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke and the Canadian Stroke Network MoCA; SF-MoCA: Short form MoCA.

Table SIII. Characteristics of the cognitive functions per Montreal Cognitive Assessment item in patients with stroke, n = 3276

MoCA Items/Test	Points	Total Sample n = 3276	Registry Cohort n = 1990	EFFECTS Cohort n = 1286
Visuospatial/execute function [†]	0	167 (5)	62 (3)	105 (8)
	1	300 (9)	146 (7)	154 (12)
	2	377 (12)	228 (11)	149 (12)
	3	574 (17)	337 (17)	237 (18)
	4	740 (23)	456 (23)	284 (22)
	5	1118 (34)	761 (38)	357 (28)
Naming (three animals)	0	33 (1)	9 (0.5)	24 (2)
	1	60 (2)	29 (2)	31 (2)
	2	269 (8)	166 (8)	103 (8)
	3	2914 (89)	1786 (80)	1128 (88)
Digit span	0	182 (6)	8 (4)	94 (7)
	1	752 (23)	450 (23)	302 (24)
	2	2342 (71)	1452 (73)	890 (69)
Attention (Tap on A)	0	321 (10)	189 (10)	132 (10)
	1	2955 (90)	1801 (90)	1152 (90)
Serial 7	0	262 (8)	94 (5)	168 (13)
	1	237 (7)	188 (9)	49 (4)
	2	572 (18)	367 (18)	205 (16)
	3	2205 (67)	1341 (67)	864 (67)
Sentence repetition	0	171 (5)	95 (5)	76 (6)
	1	517 (16)	322 (16)	195 (15)
	2	2588 (79)	1573 (79)	1015 (79)
Fluency	0	1721 (53)	991 (50)	730 (57)
	1	1555 (47)	999 (50)	556 (43)
Abstraction	0	348 (11)	186 (9)	162 (13)
	1	855 (26)	499 (25)	356 (28)
	2	2073 (63)	1305 (66)	768 (60)
Delayed recall	0	828 (25)	493 (25)	335 (26)
	1	404 (12)	260 (13)	144 (11)
	2	627 (19)	401 (20)	226 (18)
	3	690 (21)	421 (21)	269 (21)
	4	488 (15)	273 (14)	215 (17)
	5	239 (7)	142 (7)	97 (7)
Orientation	0	19 (1)	10 (1)	9 (1)
	1	12 (<1)	6 (0.3)	6 (1)

2	27 (1)	16 (1)	11 (1)
3	72 (2)	45 (2)	27 (2)
4	200 (6)	119 (6)	81 (6)
5	561 (17)	361 (18)	200 (16)
6	2385 (73)	1433 (72)	952 (74)

EFFECTS: The Efficacy of Fluoxetine – a randomised Controlled Trial in Stroke; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment.

Statistics: The difference between the registry and EFFECTS cohorts was analysed with the *Chi-2 test and Mann–Whitney U test.

Table SIV. The extraction values for each variable of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment

Initial communalities are estimates of the variance in each variable accounted for by all components (this equals 1 as principal component analysis was applied as the extraction method). Extraction communalities indicate the variance in each variable accounted for by the components.

Variables of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment, n = 10	Communalities	
	Initial	Extraction
Visuospatial/execute functions	1.000	0.50
Naming (three animals)	1.000	0.32
Digit span	1.000	0.53
Serial 7	1.000	0.46
Repetition	1.000	0.62
Abstraction	1.000	0.40
Delayed recall	1.000	0.43
Orientation	1.000	0.52
Fluency	1.000	0.34
Attention (Tap on A)	1.000	0.26

Extraction Method: Principal component analysis. Variables with extraction values ≤ 0.3 were regarded possible causes of noise in the model; therefore, they were removed from further analyses.

Table SV. The descriptive data for the selected components (marked with blue colour).

Two components were selected based on a total eigenvalue that was ≥ 1 .

Component	Total Variance Explained								
	Initial Eigenvalues*			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings [†]			Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings [‡]		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative%	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative%	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative%
1	3.19	35.46	35.46	3.19	35.46	35.46	2.30	25.56	25.56
2	1.00	11.15	46.61	1.00	11.15	46.61	1.90	21.06	46.61
3	0.85	9.45	56.07						
4	0.77	8.56	64.63						
5	0.71	7.86	72.50						
6	0.70	7.77	80.27						
7	0.62	6.88	87.15						
8	0.61	6.79	93.94						
9	0.55	6.06	100.00						

Extraction Method: principal component analysis. Rotation method: varimax with Kaiser's normalisation.

Explanation of the table:

* The Total column indicates amount of variance in the original variables accounted for by each component. The % of Variance column indicates the ratio, expressed as a percentage, of the variance accounted for by each component to the total variance in all the variables. The Cumulative% column indicates the percentage of variance accounted for by the first components with eigenvalues ≥ 1 .

[†]This section of the table shows two extracted components. These two components can explain 46.61% of the variability in the original nine variables.

[‡] This section of the table shows the cumulative percentage of the variation explained by the extracted components, which is 46.61%. The variance is now spread more evenly across chosen two components, 25.56% and 21.06% for component 1 and 2, respectively.

Table SVI. The rotated component matrix showing the variables of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment. Variables with a loading of ≥ 0.6 were selected (marked with blue colour in the table).

Rotated Component Matrix*		
Variables of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment	Components	
	1	2
Orientation	0.71	
Delayed recall	0.67	
Visuospatial/execute functions	0.66	
Naming (three animals)		
Serial 7		
Repetition		0.78
Digit span		0.71
Fluency		
Abstraction		

Extraction method: principal component analysis. Rotation method: varimax with Kaiser's normalisation.

*Rotation converged in three iterations.